

**33. The distribution of heat**

Consider the fundamental solution of the heat equation  $\Phi(x, t)$  given in Definition 4.5.

(a) Show that this extends to a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . (2 points)

(b) Verify that this obeys the heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . (2 points)

We want to show that  $\varphi \mapsto H(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}} \Phi(x, t)\varphi(x, t) dx dt$  is a distribution. Clearly it is linear. Fix a set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(K)$ .

(c) Why must there be a constant  $T > 0$  with

$$H(\varphi) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t)\varphi(x, t) dx dt ?$$

(1 point)

(d) Conclude with the help of Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 that

$$|H(\varphi)| \leq T \|\varphi\|_{K,0}.$$

Hence  $H$  is a continuous linear functional.

(2 points)

Finally, we want to show that (in the sense of distributions)  $(\partial_t - \Delta)H = \delta$ .

(e) Extend Theorem 4.7 to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t)h(y, s) dy \rightarrow h(x, s)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $s$ .

(1 point)

(f) Hence show that

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi) dy dt \rightarrow \varphi(0, 0)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(3 points)

(g) Prove that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y, t)h(y, t) dy dt \rightarrow 0$$

(2 points)

Together these integrals show that

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)H(\varphi) = \left( \int_0^\varepsilon + \int_\varepsilon^\infty \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi) dy dt = \varphi(0, 0) = \delta(\varphi)$$

for all test functions  $\varphi$ . Therefore  $(\partial_t - \Delta)H = \delta$  as claimed.

**Solution.**

(a) For  $t > 0$ ,  $\Phi(x, t)$  and all its derivatives have the form  $t^{-k}q(x, t) \exp(-x^2/4t)$  for  $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $q$  a polynomial. As  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  for  $x \neq 0$ , the exponential term is dominant and forces the expression to zero. For  $t < 0$  the heat kernel is identically zero, and so all its derivatives are zero and the limits as  $t \rightarrow 0^-$  is zero. Thus we have the smooth extension  $\Phi(x, 0) = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

(b) By direct calculation, for  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} \partial_t \Phi &= -\frac{n}{2} t^{-n/2-1} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} + \frac{|x|^2}{4} t^{-n/2-2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \\ (4\pi)^{n/2} \partial_j \Phi &= -\frac{x_j}{2} t^{-n/2-1} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \\ (4\pi)^{n/2} \partial_j^2 \Phi &= -\frac{1}{2} t^{-n/2-1} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} + \frac{x_j^2}{4} t^{-n/2-2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}. \end{aligned}$$

The appropriate sum gives zero. We see that all derivatives of the function are zero for  $t = 0, x \neq 0$ . Therefore the heat equation holds there too.

(c) Because  $\varphi$  has compact support, it is zero outside  $B(0, R) \times [-T, T]$  for some positive constants  $R$  and  $T$ . Additionally, we know that  $\Phi$  is zero for  $t < 0$ . Therefore the integrand is zero outside  $B(0, R) \times [0, T]$  and can be discarded.

(d) First just apply the estimate that bounds  $\varphi$ :

$$|H(\varphi)| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) \|\varphi(x, t)\|_{K,0} dx dt = \|\varphi(x, t)\|_{K,0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) dx dt.$$

So it remains to bound the integral of  $\Phi$  over this region. Consider the function  $g(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) dx$ . Lemma 4.6 says that  $g(t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$ . Theorem 4.7(iii) says that  $g(0) = 1$ . This gives

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) dx dt = \int_0^T 1 dt = T$$

as the constant.

(e) One only needs to modify one step in the proof of Theorem 4.7:  $|h(y, s) - h(x, s)|$  is bounded by twice the supremum of  $h$  over space *and time* variables.

(f) We should try to apply integration by parts, in order to move the derivatives from  $\varphi$  to  $\Phi$ , because we know what  $\Phi$  is. However the boundary term does not necessarily vanish on the  $t = \varepsilon$  plane.

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \partial_t \varphi - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \Delta \varphi &= - \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \varphi \Big|_{t=\varepsilon}^{t=\infty} - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \Phi \varphi \right] - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta \Phi \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, \varepsilon) \varphi(x, \varepsilon) + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_t \Phi - \Delta \Phi) \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, \varepsilon) \varphi(x, \varepsilon) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(0 - x, \varepsilon) \varphi(x, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the second line vanishes due to part (b). We can now apply the previous part to conclude that this limits to  $\varphi(0, 0)$ . Notice the need for uniform convergence, because the second parameter of  $\varphi$  is also being changed by the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(g) We can estimate the norm of  $h$  out

$$\left| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) h(x, t) dx dt \right| \leq \|h\|_\infty \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) dx dt = \|h\|_\infty \int_0^\varepsilon dt = \|h\|_\infty \varepsilon.$$

Clearly this tends to zero.

### 34. Heat death of the universe

First a corollary to Theorem 4.7:

(a) Suppose that  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u$  is defined as in Theorem 4.7. Show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

(2 points)

The above corollary shows how solutions to the heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with such initial conditions behave: they tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Physically this is because if  $h \in L^1$  then there is a finite amount of total heat, which over time becomes evenly spread across the space.

On open and bounded domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we can have different behaviour, due to the boundary conditions holding the temperature steady. In this question we determine the long time behaviour of solutions  $u$  to the heat equation on open and bounded sets  $\Omega$  with  $u(x, t) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u(x, 0) = h(x)$ . We claim  $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) Let  $l_m$  be the function from Theorem 4.7 that solves heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $l_m(x, 0) = mk(x)$  for  $m$  a constant and  $k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a smooth function of compact support such that  $k|_\Omega \equiv 1$ . Why must  $k$  exist? Why does  $l_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ? What boundary conditions on  $\Omega$  does it obey?

(3 points)

(c) Use the monotonicity property to show that  $u$  tends to zero.

(2 points)

Hint. Consider  $a = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x, 0)|$ .

**Solution.**

(a) From the formula in Theorem 4.7 and the definition of the heat kernel

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} |h(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(y)| dy = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

(b) Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, it is contained in a ball  $B(0, R)$ . Choose  $k$  to be a hat function that is identically 1 on  $B(0, R)$  and zero outside  $B(0, 2R)$ . We have shown how to construct such hat function in the tutorials.

$mk(x)$  is a smooth function of compact support, so it is continuous, bounded, and has finite  $L^1$  norm. Therefore Part (a) applies to it.

We can see directly from the integral that  $l_m$  is non-negative, in particular on  $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . And at time zero, we know from Theorem 4.7 that  $l_m(x, 0) = mk(x) \equiv m$  on  $x \in \Omega$ .

(c) Let  $a = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x, 0)| = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |h(x)|$ . By definition then  $l_{-a}(x, 0) \leq u(x, 0) \leq l_a(x, 0)$  on  $\Omega \times \{0\}$ . On the parabolic boundary  $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$  we see that  $l_{-a}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) = 0 \leq l_a(x, t)$ . By the monotonicity property it follows that  $l_{-a}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq l_a(x, t)$  for all points. The squeeze theorem then shows that  $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .