

**39. Maximum Heat.**

In this exercise we extend Theorem 3.13 to the heat equation. Suppose that  $u$  is a twice continuously differentiable function with  $\dot{u} - \Delta u \leq 0$  on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ . By compactness,  $u$  obtains a maximum on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . We will prove that the maximum is obtained on the parabolic boundary.

Recall from following piece of linear algebra from Theorem 3.13: if the Hessian of  $v$  is negative semidefinite then  $\Delta v \leq 0$ . This is similar to Exercise 29.

- (a) Suppose first that  $\dot{u} - \Delta u < 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Let  $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$  be a maximum of  $u$ . Why do we know that  $\dot{u}(x_0, t_0) = 0$ ? Consider  $v(x) = u(x, t_0)$  and argue that we have a contradiction (apply the second derivative test to  $v$ ). (2 points)
- (b) Continue the supposition that  $\dot{u} - \Delta u < 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Suppose now that the maximum occurs at  $(x_0, T)$ . Argue that this also leads to a contradiction. (2 points)
- (c) Therefore, if  $\dot{u} - \Delta u < 0$  on  $\Omega$  then the maximum is obtained on the parabolic boundary. Suppose now that  $\dot{u} - \Delta u \leq 0$ . Set  $u_\varepsilon(x, t) = u(x, t) - \varepsilon t$ . Use an argument similar to the one in Theorem 3.13 to show that  $u$  takes its maximum on the parabolic boundary. (2 points)
- (d) *A monotonicity property* For  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $f_j : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g_j : \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions, and likewise let  $u_j : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions with continuous extensions to the boundary that satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}_j - \Delta u_j = f_j & \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_j(x, 0) = h_j(x) & \text{on } \Omega \\ u_j = g_j & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Suppose further that  $f_1 \leq f_2$ ,  $g_1 \leq g_2$ , and  $h_1 \leq h_2$ . Show in this case that  $u_1 \leq u_2$  as well. (2 points)

**40. Heat death of the universe.**

First a corollary to Theorem 4.3:

- (a) Suppose that  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u$  is defined as in Theorem 4.3. Show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

(2 points)

The above corollary shows how solutions to the heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with such initial conditions behave: they tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Physically this is because if  $h \in L^1$  then there is a finite amount of total heat, which over time becomes evenly spread across the plane.

On open and bounded domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we can have different behaviour, due to the boundary conditions holding the temperature steady. In this question we determine the long time behaviour of solutions  $u$  to the heat equation on open and bounded sets  $\Omega$  with  $u(x, t) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u(x, 0) = h(x)$ . We claim  $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (b) Let  $l_m$  be the function from Theorem 4.3 that solves heat equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $l_m(x, 0) = mk(x)$  for  $m$  a constant and  $k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a smooth function of compact support such that  $k|_{\Omega} \equiv 1$ . Why must  $k$  exist? Why does  $l_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ? What boundary conditions on  $\Omega$  does it obey? (3 points)
- (c) Use the monotonicity property to show that  $u$  tends to zero. (2 points)  
 Hint. Consider  $a = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x, 0)|$ .

#### 41. The Fourier transform.

Recall that the Fourier transform of a function  $h(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined in Section 4.6 to be a function  $\hat{h}(k) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\hat{h}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y} h(y) dy.$$

Lemma 4.20 shows that it is well-defined for Schwartz functions.

- (a) Give the definition of a Schwartz function. (1 point)
- (b) Argue that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) = \exp(-x^2)$  is a Schwartz function. (1 point)
- (c) Show that the Fourier transform of  $\exp(-A^2 x^2)$  for a constant  $A > 0$  is  $\sqrt{\pi} A^{-1} \exp(-\pi^2 k^2 A^{-2})$ . You may use that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-x^2) dx = \sqrt{\pi}$  for any  $a$ . (2 points)
- (d) Show that  $\widehat{\partial_j f}(k) = 2\pi i k_j \hat{f}(k)$  for Schwartz functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . (2 points)
- (e) If  $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  is a solution to the heat equation, we can apply a Fourier transform in the space coordinate to get a function  $\hat{u}(k, t)$ . Show that this function obeys

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} + 4\pi^2 k^2 \hat{u} = 0.$$

Solve this ODE in the time variable. (2 points)

- (f) Suppose that we have the initial condition  $u(x, 0) = h(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for a Schwartz function  $h$ . Then  $\hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{h}(k)$ . Apply the inverse Fourier transformation to rederive the solution given in Theorem 4.3. (2 points)