

In the exercises below, let  $V, V_1, \dots, V_n, W$  be finite dimensional normed vector spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ . We will ignore the norms though, because in finite dimensions all norms are equivalent and linear maps are automatically continuous.

We will make use of the Kronecker notation

$$\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Preparation Exercises

#### 58. The difference between linear and multilinear.

Give an example of a multilinear map in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ . Does it belong to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ ?

**Solution.** Instead of give an example, we find all possible examples. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $a = A(1, 1)$ . Then by multilinearity,  $A(x, y) = xA(1, y) = xyA(1, 1) = xya$ . Thus  $A$  is completely determined by its value at  $(1, 1)$ . Even though we can consider  $A$  as a function from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is not a linear map in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$  because for any scalar  $\lambda$

$$A(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda A(x, \lambda y) = \lambda^2 A(x, y),$$

so instead it is quadratic with respect to the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 59. The dual space and matrices.

Recall that the dual of a vector space  $V$  is defined to be  $V' := \mathcal{L}(V; \mathbb{K})$ . Consider a basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $V$ . Show that the *dual basis*  $\{A_i \in V'\}$  defined by  $A_i(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$  is indeed a basis for  $V'$ . (Note, to define a linear map, it is enough to give its values on a basis of the domain.)

Suppose further that  $\{f_i\}$  is a basis of  $W$ . Define  $B_{i,j} \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$  by  $B_{i,j}(e_k) = f_i \delta_{j,k}$ . Argue that these elements form a basis of  $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$ . Explain this result in terms of matrices.

**Solution.** A set of vectors is a basis when its elements are linearly independent and spanning. Suppose that there are scalars  $c_i$  such that  $\sum c_i A_i = 0 \in V'$ . Evaluating this at  $e_j$  shows that  $c_j = 0$ . Hence  $\{A_i\}$  is linearly independent. Next, choose any element  $A \in V'$ . Consider the difference

$$A - \sum_i A(e_i) A_i \in V'.$$

If we evaluate this difference at  $e_j$ , then we see the result is zero. Hence this difference is the zero function in  $V'$ . In other words  $A = \sum_i A(e_i)A_i$ . This shows that  $\{A_i\}$  span  $V'$ . For  $\{B_{i,j}\}$  essentially the same ideas work, but the algebra is a little more difficult. Suppose we had a linear dependence  $\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}B_{i,j} = 0$ . Evaluating this at  $e_k$  shows that

$$0 = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}B_{i,j}(e_k) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}f_i\delta_{j,k} = \sum_i c_{i,k}f_i.$$

But the  $\{f_i\}$  are a basis of  $W$ , and so it must be that  $c_{i,k} = 0$  for all  $i$ . Since this holds for all  $k$ , all the scalars vanish. We have therefore shown that  $\{B_{i,j}\}$  are linearly independent.

As to whether they are spanning, choose any  $B \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$  we know that there exist scalars  $b_{i,j}$  such that  $B(e_k) = \sum_i b_{i,k}f_i$ . But then the difference

$$B(e_k) - \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j}B_{i,j}(e_k) = \sum_i b_{i,k}f_i - \sum_i b_{i,k}f_i = 0.$$

Since this holds for all  $k$ , we conclude that  $B = \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j}B_{i,j}$ .

The connection to matrices is that  $B_{i,j}$  is essentially the matrix with a 1 in the  $(i, j)$  position and zeroes elsewhere. Such a matrix acts on the  $j^{\text{th}}$  basis vector of  $V$  to give the  $i^{\text{th}}$  basis vector of  $W$ , and acts on all other basis vectors to give zero. The proof we just gave shows that all linear maps between  $V$  and  $W$  can be represented as a matrix. If we view  $\mathbb{K}$  as a one-dimensional vector space over itself with 1 as a basis vector, then we have shown that  $V'$  is represented by a matrix that has only one row. The dual element  $A_i$  has a 1 in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  position and the remaining elements are zero. For this reason, dual vectors are sometimes called row vectors.

## In Class Exercises

### 60. An iterative definition of multilinear maps.

We know that the space of linear maps is itself a vector space. Explain why the space of multilinear maps  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2; W)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(V_1; \mathcal{L}(V_2; W))$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2; W) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V_1; \mathcal{L}(V_2; W))$  be

$$\Phi(A)(v_1)(v_2) = A(v_1, v_2)$$

for  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$ . For every  $v_1$  we have a linear map  $B = \Phi(A)(v_1)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(V_2; W)$  since

$$B(av_2 + bv'_2) = A(v_1, av_2 + bv'_2) = aA(v_1, v_2) + bA(v_1, v'_2)$$

by multilinearity. Likewise is  $\Phi(A)$  a linear map between  $V_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V_2; W)$  since

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(A)(av_1 + bv'_1)(v_2) &= A(av_1 + bv'_1, v_2) = aA(v_1, v_2) + bA(v'_1, v_2) \\ &= a\Phi(A)(v_1)(v_2) + b\Phi(A)(v'_1)(v_2).\end{aligned}$$

These two properties shows that  $\Phi$  is well defined. It is linear because evaluation is linear:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(aA + bA')(v_1)(v_2) &= (aA + bA')(v_1, v_2) = aA(v_1, v_2) + bA'(v_1, v_2) \\ &= a\Phi(A)(v_1)(v_2) + b\Phi(A')(v_1)(v_2).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it's easy to see that the inverse is

$$\Phi^{-1}(C)(v_1, v_2) = C(v_1)(v_2).$$

Together this shows that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

This map  $\Phi$ , believe it or not, has a special name. It is called *currying*, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Currying>, named after Haskell Curry. It is sometimes called *partial evaluation*, which is closely related.

## 61. An isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$ and $\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})$ .

Use the iterative definition of multilinear maps to give an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})$ .

**Solution.** Recall the fact that the dual of the dual is canonically isomorphic to the original space (at least, this is always true in finite dimensions). Then

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathcal{L}(V, \mathcal{L}(W'; \mathbb{K})) \cong \mathcal{L}(V, W'') \cong \mathcal{L}(V, W).$$

## 62. Dimension of $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$ .

Show that

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W) = \dim(V_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \dim(V_n) \cdot \dim(W)$$

**Solution.** As a base case,  $\mathcal{L}(V_1; W)$  is the familiar space of linear maps from  $V_1$  to  $W$ , each of which can be written as a  $\dim W$  rows by  $\dim V_1$  columns matrix. Thus it is a vector space of  $\dim V_1 \cdot \dim W$ . Next, by the iterative definition of multilinear maps  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W) \cong \mathcal{L}(V_1, \mathcal{L}(V_2, \dots, V_n; W))$ . The formula follows by induction.

### 63. Tensor spaces.

Prove the following isomorphisms:

(a)  $\mathcal{L}(V; W) \cong V' \otimes W$ .

(b)  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \cong V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3) \cong (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3$

(c)  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W) \cong \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n; W)$

**Solution.**

(a) This is probably the most useful isomorphism, because it enables us to reduce spaces of linear maps to tensor products, and I find tensor products easier. Simply  $V' \otimes W = \mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathcal{L}(V; W)$ .

(b) We will prove the first isomorphism. By definition  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 = \mathcal{L}(V'_1, V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K})$ . On the other hand, we have seen that the space of multilinear maps can be understood inductively as linear maps into the space of multilinear maps. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(V'_1, V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K}) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V'_1; \mathcal{L}(V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K})) = \mathcal{L}(V'_1; V_2 \otimes V_3) \cong \mathcal{L}(V'_1, (V_2 \otimes V_3)'; \mathbb{K}) \\ &= V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3). \end{aligned}$$

(c) First, let us show that dualising distributes over the tensor product:  $(V \otimes W)' = V' \otimes W'$ . This follows since

$$(V \otimes W)' \cong \mathcal{L}(V'; W)' = \mathcal{L}(W; V') \cong \mathcal{L}(V, W; \mathbb{K}) = V' \otimes W'.$$

(Perhaps it is also a good exercise as to why the dual of the linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$  is the linear maps from  $W$  to  $V$ . Also called the transpose of a map.)

We can now prove the exercise. I'll show only the proof in the case  $n = 2$ , higher  $n$  follow similarly by induction.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2; W) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V_1; \mathcal{L}(V_2; W)) = \mathcal{L}(V_1; V'_2 \otimes W) = V'_1 \otimes (V'_2 \otimes W) \\ &\cong V'_1 \otimes V'_2 \otimes W \\ \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes V_2; W) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes V_2, W'; \mathbb{K}) = (V_1 \otimes V_2)' \otimes W \cong (V'_1 \otimes V'_2) \otimes W \\ &\cong V'_1 \otimes V'_2 \otimes W \end{aligned}$$

### 64. The tensor product.

(a) Prove or disprove:

(i) the tensor product of vectors

$$V_1 \times \dots \times V_n \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n$$

is commutative in the case  $V_1 = \dots = V_n$ .

(ii) every vector in  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  is *pure (coherent)*.

**Solution.**

(i) This is false, the tensor product is not commutative even when the vector spaces are all the same. Consider  $n = 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the standard basis  $e_1, e_2$ . Let the dual space  $V'$  have the dual basis  $A_1, A_2$ . By the construction of the double dual,  $V$  acts on  $V'$  by  $v(A) := A(v)$ . Let's apply Definition 3.2 to the following two tensors

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2 \otimes e_1 : V' \times V' &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ e_1 \otimes e_2(A_1, A_2) &:= e_1(A_1) \cdot e_2(A_2) = A_1(e_1) \cdot A_2(e_2) = 1, \\ e_2 \otimes e_1(A_1, A_2) &:= e_2(A_1) \cdot e_1(A_2) = A_1(e_2) \cdot A_2(e_1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so clearly they are different tensors.

(ii) This is false. Let's continue the example from the previous part. I claim that  $t = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1$  is not a pure tensor. Let it act on two arbitrary vectors of  $V'$ , namely  $A = a_1A_1 + a_2A_2$  and  $B = b_1A_1 + b_2A_2$ :

$$t(A, B) = e_1(A)e_2(B) - e_2(A)e_1(B) = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1.$$

However, a pure tensor would produce

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1e_1 + c_2e_2) \otimes (d_1e_1 + d_2e_2)(A, B) &= (c_1a_1 + c_2a_2)(d_1b_1 + d_2b_2) \\ &= c_1d_1a_1b_1 + c_2d_1a_2b_1 + c_1d_2a_1b_2 + c_2d_2a_2b_2 \end{aligned}$$

So we would need for  $c_1d_2 = 1$  and  $c_2d_1 = -1$  but also  $c_1d_1 = 0$ . This is not possible.

(b) Show that in  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  the linear span of the pure tensors is  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ , ie. every element of  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  is a finite linear combination of the pure tensors.

**Solution.** For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  let  $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim V_j}$  be a basis of  $V_j$  and  $\{A_{i,j}\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim V_j'}$  be the dual basis of  $V_j'$ . By multilinearity, an element  $A$  of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \mathbb{K})$  is exactly determined by its values  $A(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n})$ . But

$$A_{j_1,1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{j_n,n}(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}) = \delta_{i_1,j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n,j_n}.$$

This allows us to write

$$A = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\dim V_n} A(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}) A_{i_1,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_n,n}.$$

Thus we have written every element of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \mathbb{K}) = V_1' \otimes \dots \otimes V_n'$  as a sum of pure tensors.

## Additional Exercises

### 65. Riemannian metric.

Let  $X$  be a manifold. Let  $L(TX, TX; \mathbb{R})$  denote the vector bundle whose fibre over  $x \in X$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of bilinear forms  $T_x X \times T_x X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A Riemannian metric (or simply a metric) on  $X$  is a global smooth section  $G$  of this vector bundle, such that  $g(x)$  is a scalar product on  $T_x X$  for every  $x \in X$  (it is symmetric and positive definite).

Show that every manifold has a Riemannian metric.

Hint. Choose a cover of  $X$  by coordinate charts. Construct a Riemannian metric in each coordinate chart. ‘Glue’ them all together using a partition of unity.

**Solution.** Let us first do this in a single coordinate chart  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we know that  $T(\phi)$  is a diffeomorphism between  $TU$  and  $T\mathbb{R}^n$ . This has an obvious Riemannian metric, namely the dot product. Explicitly, if  $v, w \in T_x U$ , then we define

$$g(x)(v, w) = T_x(\phi)v \cdot T_x(\phi)w.$$

We see that this very much depends on the choice of chart.

Now let  $X$  be covered by an atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(\alpha_\alpha)$  be a subordinate partition of unity. In each coordinate neighbourhood  $U_\alpha$  we have a Riemannian metric  $g_\alpha$ . Let  $g(x) = \sum \alpha_\alpha(x)g_\alpha(x)$ . This is a well-defined global section, because  $\alpha_\alpha$  vanishes outside  $U_\alpha$  and at any point at most finitely many of the terms are non-zero. Bilinearity and symmetry are also immediate, because the sum of symmetric bilinear forms is again a symmetric bilinear form. It remains to show positive definiteness. But the partition of unity is non-negative, so  $g(x)$  must be non-negative. Suppose that  $v \in T_x X$  is a non-zero vector. There must be at least one  $\alpha_\alpha$  that does not vanish at  $x$  because they sum to 1, so it follows that

$$g(x)(v, v) = \sum \alpha_\alpha(x)g_\alpha(x)(v, v) \geq \alpha_0(x)g_0(x)(v, v) > 0.$$

This shows positive definiteness.

### Terminology

köherent = coherent. In English, we called these tensors pure, simple, or elementary.