

**General Comment:** Distributions are defined in Definition 2.6 as *continuous* linear maps. Recall that a linear map is continuous if and only if it is a bounded linear operator ( $\Leftrightarrow \overline{F(B(0,1))}$  is compact). But the topology is a little complicated to describe in this situation, so please just use the condition given in the definition directly.

### 13. The Delta Quadrant.

(a) Show that

$$F : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 \cdot \phi''(x) \, dx$$

is a distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and define a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$F(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cdot \phi(x) \, dx \text{ for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4 \text{ Point(s)})$$

(b) Show that the Dirac-Distribution

$$\delta : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \phi(0)$$

is indeed a distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  and prove that there does *not* exist a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\delta(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) \cdot \phi(x) \, dx \text{ for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

(2+4 Point(s))

(c) Calculate the derivatives  $F'$  and  $\delta'$  of the distributions in parts (a) und (b) respectively.

(2+2 Point(s))

### Solution.

(a) We need to show that  $F$  is a linear map  $F : C_0^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous with respect to the semi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R},\alpha}$ . The main obstacle is that  $x^3 \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , otherwise the continuity is immediate. So choose any compact  $K$ . It contains a furthest point  $x_m$  from the origin:  $|x_m| = R$ . Then for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(K)$ ,

$$|f(\phi)| \leq \int_K |x^3| |\phi''| \, dx \leq R^3 \int_K |\phi''| \, dx \leq R^3 \int_K \sup_{y \in K} |\phi''(y)| \, dx = R^3 \mu(K) \|\phi\|_{K,(2)}.$$

This shows that  $F$  is continuous. It is also linear; for constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$F(a\phi + b\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 (a\phi + b\psi)'' \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 (a\phi'' + b\psi'') \, dx = aF(\phi) + bF(\psi).$$

Not only is  $F$  a distribution, it is actually one of the special distributions that comes from a function. To see this, apply integration by parts twice to an interval  $[a, b] \supset \text{supp } \phi$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 \phi''(x) \, dx = 0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 3x^2 \phi'(x) \, dx = -0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6x \phi(x) \, dx,$$

so the sought after  $f(x)$  is  $6x$ .

- (b) The addition and scaling of functions is defined pointwise, so linearity of this functional follows by definition. For continuity, choose any compact set  $K$  and consider test functions  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(K)$ . We have

$$|\delta(\phi)| = |\phi(0)| \leq \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)| = \|\phi\|_{K, (0)}.$$

It remains to show that this distribution is not induced by a function. To this end, consider the standard bump function  $\psi(x) = A \exp(|x|^2 - 1)^{-1}$  for  $|x| < 1$  and identically zero otherwise. We choose the constant  $A$  so that the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$ . The associated family of functions  $\psi_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-1} \psi(\epsilon^{-1}x)$  have the properties  $\text{supp } \psi_\epsilon \subseteq [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\epsilon = 1$ , and  $\psi_\epsilon(0) = \epsilon^{-1}$ .

Suppose now that the distribution  $\delta$  was induced by a function  $g$ . We compute

$$\epsilon^{-1} = \delta(\psi_\epsilon) = \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} g(x) \psi_\epsilon(x) dx \leq \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left( \sup_{y \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]} g(y) \right) \psi_\epsilon(x) dx = \sup_{y \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]} g(y).$$

But the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the right side is  $g(0)$  and the limit of the left side is  $\infty$ . Thus  $g$  cannot be a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We can also see how the functional applies to  $\psi_\epsilon(x - x_0)$  for  $x_0 \neq 0$ . For small enough  $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta(\psi_\epsilon(x - x_0)) = 0$  because 0 then lies outside its support. By the same calculation as above then  $0 = \sup_{y \in [x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon]} g(y)$  and in the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  this implies  $g(x_0) = 0$ . Therefore we see that  $g(x) = 0$  almost everywhere. But then  $g$  does not have the same property as  $\delta$ .

- (c) The definition of the derivative of a distribution is  $F'(\phi) = -F(\phi')$ . Therefore

$$F'(\phi) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 \phi'''(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6x \phi(x) dx.$$

This demonstrates that as  $F$  is induced by  $6x$ , so too is  $F'$  induced by 6. In this sense the derivative of distributions is a generalisation of the normal derivative.

We apply the same definition to  $\delta$ :

$$\delta'(\phi) = -\delta(\phi') = -\phi'(0).$$

#### 14. An induced distribution.

Let  $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Define

$$G : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \varphi \mapsto F(\varphi \times \psi).$$

Show that  $G$  is a Distribution on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(Caution: Don't forget to show, that  $G$  is well-defined.)

(5 Point(s))

**Solution.** For  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , note that  $\psi\varphi$  is again a smooth function, and its support is contained in the Cartesian product of the supports of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ . So we can indeed

apply  $F$  to the product. As to continuity of  $G$ , let  $L = \text{supp } \psi \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and choose a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . For any function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(K)$ , the norm estimate for  $F$  gives

$$|G(\varphi)| = |F(\varphi\psi)| \leq C_1 \|\varphi\psi\|_{K \times L, \alpha_1} + \cdots + C_M \|\varphi\psi\|_{K \times L, \alpha_M}.$$

We can also decompose the norms like so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\psi\|_{K \times L, \alpha} &= \sup_{(x,y) \in K \times L} |\partial^\alpha(\varphi(x)\psi(y))| \\ &= \sup_{(x,y) \in K \times L} \left| \partial^{\alpha'} \varphi(x) \partial^{\alpha''} \psi(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^{\alpha'} \varphi(x)| \sup_{y \in L} |\partial^{\alpha''} \psi(y)| \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{K, \alpha'} \|\psi\|_{L, \alpha''}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha', \alpha'') \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+m} = \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^m$  is a decomposition of the multiindex. In this situation, the norms of  $\psi$  are fixed constants, so this is a linear combination of the norms of  $\phi$  on  $K$ . Substitution of these estimates into the prior bound of  $|G(\varphi)|$  gives a bound of the required form.

## 15. The Crucial Kernel.

When a the partial derivative of a function is zero, it is constant in that direction. In this question we investigate what it means when a distribution has a derivative that is zero. Let  $F \in D'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  and let  $(x, t)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  denote the elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

We want to show that:  $\partial_t F = 0$  if and only if there is a distribution  $G \in D'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$F(\varphi) = G\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(-, t) dt\right).$$

From a certain point of view then,  $F$  does not depend on the  $t$  coordinate. In order to show the statement prove the following steps. First, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \varphi &\mapsto \left(x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) dt\right). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) (Optional) Show, that  $\mathcal{I}$  is continuous and linear. (3 Point(s))
- (b) Show that a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  belongs to the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$  if and only if it is the  $t$ -derivative of another such function. (3 Point(s))
- (c) Show that for  $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\partial_t F = 0$  if and only if  $F \equiv 0$  on the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ . (2 Point(s))
- (d) Finally show the statement by showing that  $\partial_t F = 0$  if and only if there exists a  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $F(\varphi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\varphi))$ . (2 Point(s))

**Solution.**

(a) Linearity follows from linearity of the integral, but perhaps it is good to establish notations:

$$\mathcal{I}(a\varphi + b\psi)(x) = a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) dt + b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x, t) dt = a\mathcal{I}(\varphi)(x) + b\mathcal{I}(\psi)(x).$$

The function  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$  is also smooth, because we may pass derivatives through the integral sign.

The question of continuity depends on which norms are being used, and is more subtle. Recall that a linear function is continuous if and only if it is a bounded operator. This explains Definition 2.6. It is enough therefore to bound  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$  with respect to all of the semi-norms on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Fix any compact sets  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , and choose  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(L)$ .

$$\|\mathcal{I}(\varphi)\|_{K, \alpha} = \sup_{x \in K} \left| \partial^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) dt \right| \leq \sup_{x \in K} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x, t)| dt.$$

Now, we don't need to integrate from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  because  $\varphi$  has compact support. By projecting  $L$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we see that there is a bound  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $|t| > T$  then  $\varphi(x, t) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\sup_{x \in K} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x, t)| dt = \sup_{x \in K} \int_{-T}^T |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x, t)| dt \leq 2T \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x, t)| \leq 2T \|\varphi\|_{L, \alpha}.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a bounded linear operator and therefore is continuous.

(b) Firstly, what does it mean for  $\varphi$  to be in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ ? It means for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) dt = 0.$$

Suppose then that  $\varphi$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ . We must show that there exists  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\varphi = \partial_t \psi$ . Define

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \varphi(x, t) dt.$$

This is a smooth function and its derivative is  $\varphi$ , so it remains to show that it has compact support. As we saw in the previous part, there exists a bound  $T$  such that for all  $|t| > T$  the function  $\varphi(x, t) = 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $\psi(x, t) = 0$  for  $t < -T$  and  $\psi(x, t)$  is a constant for  $t > T$ . However, the assumption that  $\varphi$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$  tells us that this constant is zero. Thus  $\psi$  also has compact support.

Conversely, take any  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ . Note that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t \psi dt = \psi \Big|_{t=-\infty}^{t=\infty} = 0,$$

so that  $\partial_t \psi$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

(c)  $F$  is a distribution and  $\partial_t F$  means the distributional derivative, ie  $\partial_t F(\varphi) = -F(\partial_t \varphi)$ . Suppose that  $\partial_t F = 0$  and that  $\varphi$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ . From part (b), we know that  $\varphi = \partial_t \psi$  for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore we apply  $\partial_t F$  to  $\psi$  to conclude

$$0 = \partial_t F(\psi) = -F(\varphi).$$

This shows that  $F$  vanishes on the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

In the other direction, suppose that  $F$  vanishes on the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$  and take any  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ . Again by part (b), we know that  $\partial_t \psi$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore

$$\partial_t F(\psi) = -F(\partial_t \psi) = 0.$$

- (d) Before we address  $F$ , note that  $\mathcal{I}$  is surjective. Explicitly, if  $\omega : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function with compact support and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega(t) dt = 1$ , and  $g$  is any function in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\mathcal{I}(g(x)\omega(t)) = g$ . Therefore, as topological vector spaces,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})/\ker \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are isomorphic. If  $\partial_t F = 0$ , from the part (c) we know that  $F$  vanishes on  $\ker \mathcal{I}$  and so this isomorphism induces a well defined map  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $F(\varphi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\varphi))$ . The reverse is immediate: if  $F(\varphi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\varphi))$  then  $F$  vanishes on the kernel of  $\mathcal{I}$  and so must have  $\partial_t F = 0$ .

## 16. You can now write “Transport-Distribution Expert” on your résumé.

In this exercise we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between distributions solving the linear transport equation and distributions describing the corresponding initial values  $g$ .

- (a) Show that for any distribution  $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  which solves the transport equation  $(\partial_t + b\nabla)F = 0$ , the following distribution solves the equation  $\partial_t \tilde{F} = 0$ :

$$\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \tilde{F}(\phi) = F(\tilde{\phi}) \text{ and } \tilde{\phi}(y, t) = \phi(y - bt, t) \text{ for all } (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(2 Point(s))

- (b) Show that for any mollifier  $(\lambda_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the functions

$$\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad (x, t) \mapsto \phi(x)\lambda_\epsilon(t)$$

belong to  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ .

(1 Point(s))

- (c) Recall  $\mathcal{I}$  from the *The Crucial Kernel*. Let  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  solve the equation  $\partial_t \tilde{F} = 0$ . We have already proved that there exists a distribution  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , such that  $\tilde{F}(\phi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\phi))$ . Argue therefore that  $\tilde{F}(\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon)$  does not depend on  $\epsilon > 0$ .

(1 Point(s))

- (d) Show that for any  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the following  $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  solves  $(\partial_t + b\nabla)F = 0$ :

$$F : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto G\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{-tb}\phi(\cdot, t) dt\right),$$

where  $T_{-tb}$  is a translation operator.

(3 Point(s))

- (e) Show that  $G \rightarrow F$  is bijective onto  $\{F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \mid (\partial_t + b\nabla)F = 0\}$ .

(3 Point(s))

**Solution.**

- (a) The core of this question is how does the chain rule of differentiation look for distributions? The order of operations is a little subtle, so to be clear let us write the translation operator  $T(\phi) = \phi(y - bt, t)$  explicitly. In other words,  $\tilde{F}(\phi) = F(T\phi)$ . First observe that  $T$  commutes with the spatial derivatives:

$$\partial_k \tilde{\phi} = \partial_k(T\phi) = \partial_k(\phi(x - bt, t)) = T(\partial_k \phi).$$

On the other hand,  $T$  does *not* commute with the time derivative. By the chain rule,

$$\partial_t \tilde{\phi} = \partial_t(T\phi) = T(\tilde{\nabla} \phi) \cdot \partial_t T = \begin{pmatrix} T(\nabla \phi) \\ T(\partial_t \phi) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -b \cdot T(\nabla \phi) + T(\partial_t \phi),$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the gradient with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\nabla$  is the gradient with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Together this says that

$$T(\partial_t \phi) = \partial_t(T\phi) + b \cdot T(\nabla \phi) = \partial_t(T\phi) + b \cdot \nabla(T\phi).$$

Now we are in a position where we can address the question. In the following we use the definition of the derivative of a distribution and the definition of  $\tilde{F}$  and pay close attention to the order of operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{F}(\phi) &= -\tilde{F}(\partial_t \phi) = -F(T(\partial_t \phi)) = -F(\partial_t(T\phi) + b \cdot \nabla(T\phi)) \\ &= -F(\partial_t(T\phi)) - F(b \cdot \nabla(T\phi)) = \partial_t F(T\phi) + b \cdot \nabla F(T\phi) \\ &= (\partial_t + b \cdot \nabla)F(T\phi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The product of two smooth functions is smooth. So it only remains to show that the product has compact support. Let  $K$  is the support of  $\phi$  and the support of  $\lambda_\epsilon$  is  $I$ . If  $(x, t) \notin K \times I$  then either  $\phi(x) = 0$  or  $\lambda_\epsilon(t) = 0$  (or both). In both cases the product is zero. This shows that the support of the product is contained in  $K \times I$ , which is a bounded set, and thus the support of the product must be compact.
- (c) We compute

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \lambda_\epsilon(t) dt = \phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_\epsilon(t) dt = \phi(x),$$

because the integral of a mollifier is always 1. In other words,  $\mathcal{I}(\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon) = \phi$ . As explained the question, the condition that  $\partial_t \tilde{F} = 0$  means that it is of the form  $\tilde{F}(\psi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\psi))$  for some distribution  $G$ . Therefore  $\tilde{F}(\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon) = G(\mathcal{I}(\phi \times \lambda_\epsilon)) = G(\phi)$  is independent of  $\epsilon$ .

- (d) Again, the order of operators in this question is somewhat subtle. Let us introduce a translation  $S(\phi) = \phi(x + bt, t)$ . This is similar to  $T$  from part (a), in fact they are inverses, and we have that  $S$  commutes with  $\nabla$  but

$$S(\partial_t \phi) = \partial_t(S\phi) - b \cdot S(\nabla \phi).$$

One could also write the integral part of this formula using the operator  $\mathcal{I}$ , but we don't have to interchange its position, so we will leave it as an integral so as not to be more

abstract than necessary. Perhaps it would be a good exercise to rewrite the following proof using  $\mathcal{I}$ .

In this notation we have that

$$F(\phi) := G\left(x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi \, dt\right).$$

Let us compute the  $t$ -derivative of this  $F$ : for any test function  $\phi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F(\phi) &= -F(\partial_t \phi) = -G\left(x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(\partial_t \phi) \, dt\right) \\ &= -G\left(x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t(S\phi) - b \cdot S(\nabla \phi) \, dt\right) \\ &= -G\left(x \mapsto 0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} b \cdot S(\nabla \phi) \, dt\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_k G\left(x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(\partial_k \phi) \, dt\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_k F(\partial_k \phi) = -b \cdot \nabla F(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that it solves the transport equation.

- (e) Part (d) shows that the mapping  $G \mapsto F$  is well-defined. Suppose then that we had a solution  $F$  of the transport equation. Part (a) shows there is an associated distribution  $\tilde{F}$  with the property that  $\partial_t \tilde{F} = 0$ . Using part (c) we have  $\tilde{F}(\phi) = G(\mathcal{I}(\phi))$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This gives a mapping  $F \mapsto G$ .

It remains to show that these mappings are inverse to one another, but observe

$$F(\phi) = F(TS\phi) = \tilde{F}(S\phi) = G(\mathcal{I}(S\phi)),$$

which crucially relies on  $T$  and  $S$  being inverse translations. The mapping is therefore bijective.

Solutions are due on Tuesday 12 noon, the day before the tutorial. Please email to [r.ogilvie@math.uni-mannheim.de](mailto:r.ogilvie@math.uni-mannheim.de). One possibility is to write your solutions neatly by hand and then scan them with your phone to make a pdf. There are many apps that do this; two examples on Android are ‘Tiny Scanner’ and ‘Simple Scanner’.