

44. A differential form which is closed but not exact.

Consider on the punctured plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ the 1-form

$$\omega := -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy.$$

- (a) Show that ω is closed.
- (b) Compute $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \omega$.
- (c) Why does it follow from that ω is not exact?

Remark. Due to $d(d\eta) = 0$ we see that every exact form is closed. *Poincaré's Lemma* says that on *star-shaped* regions in \mathbb{R}^n that the converse is also true: every closed form is exact. The example in this exercise shows that such a converse result cannot hold for general regions.

Solution.

- (a)

$$d\omega = -\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dy \wedge dx + \left(\frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dx \wedge dy = 0$$

- (b) We use the parametrisation f and result from Exercise 43 on the last tutorial sheet:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \omega = \int_0^{2\pi} -\frac{\sin t}{1} d(\cos t) + \frac{\cos t}{1} d(\sin t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 t dt + \cos^2 t dt = 2\pi.$$

- (c) By Stokes' theorem if ω were exact then this integral would be zero.

45. An integration.

Let $\omega = y dx + z dy$ be a 1-form on \mathbb{R}^3 . Consider the restriction of ω to the 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , with the parametrisation

$$S^2 = \{ (\sin(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta), \cos(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta), \cos(\vartheta)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \varphi \in [0, 2\pi), \vartheta \in [0, \pi] \}.$$

Verify through direct computation that Stokes' theorem holds for this case:

$$\int_{S^2} d\omega = 0.$$

Solution. First,

$$d\omega = dy \wedge dx + dz \wedge dy.$$

We will also need to calculate the pullback by the parametrisation $f(\varphi, \vartheta) = (\sin(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta), \cos(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta), \cos(\vartheta))$

$$f^* dx = d(\sin(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta)) = \cos(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta) d\varphi + \sin(\varphi) \cos(\vartheta) d\vartheta$$

$$f^* dy = -\sin(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta) d\varphi + \cos(\varphi) \cos(\vartheta) d\vartheta$$

$$f^* dz = -\sin(\vartheta) d\vartheta$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(dy \wedge dx) &= -\sin^2(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) d\varphi \wedge d\vartheta + \cos^2(\varphi) \sin(\vartheta) \cos \vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= -\sin(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) d\varphi \wedge d\vartheta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(dz \wedge dy) &= -\cos(\varphi) \sin^2(\vartheta) d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= \cos(\varphi) \sin^2(\vartheta) d\varphi \wedge d\vartheta \end{aligned}$$

Similar to Exercise 43, we can ignore sets of measure zero when pulling back using the parametrisation.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} d\omega &= \int_{[0,2\pi] \times [0,\pi]} f^* d\omega \\ &= \int_{[0,2\pi] \times [0,\pi]} \left[-\sin(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) + \cos(\varphi) \sin^2(\vartheta) \right] d\varphi \wedge d\vartheta \\ &= \int_0^\pi \left[\int_0^{2\pi} -\sin(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) + \cos(\varphi) \sin^2(\vartheta) d\varphi \right] d\vartheta \\ &= \int_0^\pi -2\pi \sin(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) d\vartheta = \int_0^\pi -\pi \sin(2\vartheta) d\vartheta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

46. The Divergence Theorem (aka Gauss' Theorem).

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $\overline{X^0} = X$ that is an n -dimensional manifold with boundary. It is known that X must be orientable and that $\omega := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ is a volume form on X . Further, let a smooth $(n-1)$ -form η on X be given.

- (a) Show that there is a unique vector field $F \in \text{Vec}^\infty(X)$ with $\eta = i_F \omega$.
- (b) Write $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ for functions $F_1, \dots, F_n \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. Define the divergence operator $\text{div}(F) \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\text{div}(F) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_k}.$$

Prove the following connection between the divergence operator and the exterior derivative:

$$d(i_F \omega) = \text{div}(F) \cdot \omega.$$

(c) Prove Gauss' divergence theorem:

$$\int_{\partial X} \eta = \int_X \operatorname{div}(F) \cdot \omega .$$

Solution.

(a) We know that we can write $\eta = \sum \eta_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. Consider then the vector field $F := (\eta_1, \dots, (-1)^{n-1} \eta_n)$ and in particular how $\iota_F \omega$ acts on $E_1 \otimes \widehat{E}_i \otimes E_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \iota_F \omega, E_1 \otimes \widehat{E}_i \otimes E_n \rangle &= \langle \omega, F \otimes E_1 \otimes \widehat{E}_i \otimes E_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle \omega, (-1)^{j-1} \eta_j E_j \otimes E_1 \otimes \widehat{E}_i \otimes E_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \eta_j \det(dx_k(v_l))_{k,l} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \eta_i \det(dx_k(v_l))_{k,l} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \eta_i \cdot (-1)^{i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

because the only determinant that does not have a repeated column is the one where $j = i$. For that matrix, you then have to do $j - 1$ column swaps to make it the identity matrix. This shows that $\iota_F \omega$ acts identically to η .

(b) We can apply part (a) in reverse, so that $\iota_F \omega = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} F_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. Now we apply the exterior derivative

$$\begin{aligned} d(\iota_F \omega) &= \sum_i d((-1)^{i-1} F_i) \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \sum_i \left[\sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (-1)^{i-1} F_i dx_j \right] \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \sum_i \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \operatorname{div}(F) \cdot dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\int_{\partial X} \eta = \int_X d\eta = \int_X d(\iota_F \omega) = \int_X \operatorname{div}(F) \cdot \omega$$

47. Volume forms on compact connected manifolds.

Let X be a compact connected orientable n -dimensional manifold without boundary, and suppose that ω is a non-vanishing n -form. Show that ω is not exact.

Hint. Calculate $\int_X \omega$ in two ways: with Stokes' theorem and with Definition 3.21.

Solution. Suppose that ω was exact: $\omega = d\eta$. Then by Stokes' theorem

$$0 = \int_{\emptyset} \eta = \int_{\partial X} \eta = \int_X d\eta = \int_X \omega.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of integration on manifolds, let $\{(U_k, \phi_k)\}$ be an oriented atlas of X and f_k the corresponding partition of unity. Without loss of generality, assume all the sets U_k are connected. Write $\omega = g_k d\phi_{k,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{k,n}$. Because g_k is non-vanishing, it has a definite sign on U_k . Because we are using an orientable atlas, all of the functions g_k have the same sign. Assume this sign is positive. Then

$$\int_X \omega = \sum_k \int_{\phi_k[U_k]} f_k(\phi_k^{-1}(x)) g_k(\phi_k^{-1}(x)) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \geq \int_{\phi_0[U_0]} f_0(\phi_0^{-1}(x)) g_0(\phi_0^{-1}(x)) dx_1 \cdots dx_n > 0$$

since the integral of a non-negative continuous function that is positive at a point must be positive. This is contradiction. Hence ω is not exact.