

In the exercises below, let  $V, V_1, \dots, V_n, W$  be finite dimensional normed vector spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**33. (a) Dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$ .**

Show that

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W) = \dim(V_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \dim(V_n) \cdot \dim(W)$$

**Solution.** We give two approaches.

1. Note that  $\mathcal{L}(V_1; W)$  is the familiar space of linear maps from  $V_1$  to  $W$ , each of which can be written as a  $\dim W$  rows by  $\dim V_1$  columns matrix. Thus it is a vector space of  $\dim V_1 \cdot \dim W$ . Next, notice that for  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$  the map  $x_1 \mapsto A(x_1, \cdot)$  is a linear map from  $V_1$  to  $\mathcal{L}(V_2, \dots, V_n; W)$ , and conversely every such linear map give an element of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$ . The formula follows by induction.

2. We can also give an explicit basis to this space. Let  $e_{i,j}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \dim V_j$  be a basis of  $V_j$ . Then every vector in  $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$  can be written as  $v = (\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_j} c_{i,j} e_{i,j})_j$ . Choose a linear map  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$ . It acts on  $v$  as

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_j} c_{i,j} e_{i,j}\right) &= A\left(c_{1,1} e_{1,1} + \sum_{i_1=2}^{\dim V_1} c_{i_1,1} e_{i_1,1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \dots\right) \\ &= A\left(c_{1,1} e_{1,1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \dots\right) + A\left(\sum_{i_1=2}^{\dim V_1} c_{i_1,1} e_{i_1,1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \dots\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} A\left(c_{i_1,1} e_{i_1,1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \dots\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} A\left(c_{i_1,1} e_{i_1,1}, c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \sum_{i_3=1}^{\dim V_3} c_{i_3,3} e_{i_3,3}, \dots\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\dim V_n} A\left(c_{i_1,1} e_{i_1,1}, c_{i_2,2} e_{i_2,2}, \dots, c_{i_n,n} e_{i_n,n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\dim V_n} c_{i_1,1} c_{i_2,2} \dots c_{i_n,n} A\left(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

What this shows is that the effect of  $A$  on a vector is determined by the values  $A(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}) \in W$ . Conversely, if you specify these values then there is a unique multi-linear map  $A$ . Since there are  $\dim(V_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \dim(V_n)$  different values to be chosen from a  $\dim W$  dimensional space, this shows the formula.

It might be a useful extra exercise to compare how the linear maps  $\mathcal{L}(V_1 \times V_2; W)$  are different from the multilinear maps  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2; W)$

(b) **Alternative description of the norm on  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$ .**

In the lectures we saw that the norm on  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W)$  was defined as

$$\|A\| := \sup\{\|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \mid x_k \in V_k, \|x_k\| \leq 1\}.$$

Prove the following alternative characterisations are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &= \sup\{\|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \mid x_k \in V_k, \|x_k\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\|A\left(\frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}\right)\| \mid x_k \in V_k \setminus \{0\}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution.** Note that  $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is zero if any of the input vectors are zero. So we may consider only non-zero vectors. Consider for  $\|x_k\| \leq 1$

$$\|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| = \|x_1\| \dots \|x_n\| \|A(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)\| \leq \|A(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)\|$$

With equality if and only if  $\|x_k\| = 1$  for all  $k$ . This shows the equality of (1) and (2). (2) and (3) are equal for the reason that they consider precisely the same set of values.

(c) **An isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})$ .**

Show that the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V; W) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K}), \quad A \mapsto \Phi(A)$$

with

$$\Phi(A) : V \times W' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad (v, B) \mapsto (B \circ A)(v)$$

is an isomorphism between the normed vector spaces  $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})$ , i.e.  $\Phi$  is a vector space isomorphism and it preserves the respective norms: for all  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$  we have  $\|\Phi(A)\| = \|A\|$ .

**Solution.** First note that  $\Phi$  is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A + \lambda\tilde{A})(v, B) &= B\left((A + \lambda\tilde{A})(v)\right) = B\left(A(v) + \lambda\tilde{A}(v)\right) = B(A(v)) + \lambda B(\tilde{A}(v)) \\ &= \Phi(A)(v, B) + \lambda\Phi(\tilde{A})(v, B). \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose that  $\Phi(A)$  is the zero map for some  $A$ . Let  $v_i, w_j$  and  $w'_k$  be (unit length) bases of  $V, W$  and  $W'$ , with  $w'_k(w_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . Write  $A(v_i) = \sum a_{i,j}w_j$  in matrix form. Then

$$0 = \Phi(A)(v_i, w'_k) = w'_k\left(\sum a_{i,j}w_j\right) = a_{i,k},$$

which shows  $A = 0$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is injective. On the other hand, we know the dimensions of the two spaces are equal, so  $\Phi$  must be surjective. Therefore we have shown that  $\Phi$  is a vector space isomorphism.

Finally we must show that it preserves the norms. Observe  $|B(w)| \leq \|B\| \|w\|$  by the properties of norms of linear operators. Choose  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$ . We proceed

$$\|\Phi(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})} = \sup\{|B(A(v))| \mid \|B\| = 1, \|v\| = 1\} \leq \sup\{\|A(v)\| \mid \|v\| = 1\} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(V; W)}.$$

On the other hand, these are finite dimensional spaces, so  $\{\|A(v)\| \mid \|v\| = 1\}$  actually has a maximum at say  $\tilde{v}$ , and if  $A$  is not the zero operator then  $A(\tilde{v}) \in W$  is nonzero. Let  $w_1$  be the unit length normalisation of  $A(\tilde{v})$  and extend this to a basis  $w_2, \dots, w_{\dim W}$  of  $W$ . Now let  $\tilde{B}$  be the dual element. That is  $\tilde{B}(w_1) = 1$  and  $\tilde{B}(w_j) = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Both  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  are unit length, so

$$\|\Phi(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K})} = \sup\{|B(A(v))| \mid \|B\| = 1, \|v\| = 1\} \geq |\tilde{B}(A(\tilde{v}))| = |A(\tilde{v})| = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(V; W)}.$$

This shows that  $\Phi$  is norm preserving.

### 34. The tensor product.

(a) Prove or disprove:

(i) the tensor product of vectors

$$V_1 \times \dots \times V_n \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n, (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n$$

is not commutative in the case  $V_1 = \dots = V_n$ .

(ii) every vector in  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  is *pure (coherent)*.

**Solution.**

(i) This is true, the tensor product is not commutative even when the vector spaces are all the same. Consider  $n = 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the standard basis  $e_1, e_2$ . Let the dual space  $V'$  have the dual basis  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ . By the construction of the double dual,  $V$  acts on  $V'$  by  $v(\alpha) := \alpha(v)$ . Let's apply Definition 3.2 to the following two tensors

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2 \otimes e_1 &: V' \times V' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ e_1 \otimes e_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &:= e_1(\alpha_1) \cdot e_2(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1(e_1) \cdot \alpha_2(e_2) = 1, \\ e_2 \otimes e_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &:= e_2(\alpha_1) \cdot e_1(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1(e_2) \cdot \alpha_2(e_1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so clearly they are different tensors.

(ii) This is false. Let's continue the example from the previous part. I claim that  $t = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1$  is not a pure tensor. Let it act on two arbitrary vectors of  $V'$ , namely  $\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2$  and  $\beta = b_1\alpha_1 + b_2\alpha_2$ :

$$t(\alpha, \beta) = e_1(\alpha)e_2(\beta) - e_2(\alpha)e_1(\beta) = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1.$$

However, a pure tensor would produce

$$\begin{aligned}(c_1e_1 + c_2e_2) \otimes (d_1e_1 + d_2e_2)(\alpha, \beta) &= (c_1a_1 + c_2a_2)(d_1b_1 + d_2b_2) \\ &= c_1d_1a_1b_1 + c_2d_1a_2b_1 + c_1d_2a_1b_2 + c_2d_2a_2b_2\end{aligned}$$

So we would need for  $c_1d_2 = 1$  and  $c_2d_1 = -1$  but also  $c_1d_1 = 0$ . This is not possible.

- (b) Show that in  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  the linear span of the pure tensors is  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ , ie. every element of  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  is a finite linear combination of the pure tensors.

**Solution.** We have already seen in 33(a) that an element  $A$  of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \mathbb{K})$  is exactly determined by its values  $A(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n})$ . But

$$\alpha_{j_1,1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_{j_n,n}(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}) = \delta_{i_1,j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \delta_{i_n,j_n}.$$

This allows us to write

$$A = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\dim V_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\dim V_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\dim V_n} A(e_{i_1,1}, e_{i_2,2}, \dots, e_{i_n,n}) \alpha_{i_1,1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_{i_n,n}.$$

Thus we have written every element of  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; \mathbb{K}) = V'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V'_n$  as a sum of pure tensors. Conversely, pure tensors are multilinear maps, and so so are their linear combinations.

- (c) Construct the following isomorphisms between normed vector spaces:

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}(V_1, \dots, V_n; W) \cong \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n; W)$
- (ii)  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \cong V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3) \cong (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{L}(V; W) \cong V' \otimes W$ .

**Solution.** In each case we will name the isomorphism from left to right as  $\Phi$ . The question says only to construct the isomorphism and I am feeling a little lazy, so I will omit the proof that it is in fact an isomorphism.

- (ii) We will prove the first isomorphism. By definition  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 = \mathcal{L}(V'_1, V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K})$ . On the other hand, we have seen that the space of multi-linear maps can be understood inductively as linear maps into the space of multi-linear maps. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(V'_1, V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K}) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V'_1; \mathcal{L}(V'_2, V'_3; \mathbb{K})) = \mathcal{L}(V'_1; V_2 \otimes V_3) \cong \mathcal{L}(V'_1, (V_2 \otimes V_3)'; \mathbb{K}) \\ &= V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) This is probably the most useful isomorphism, because it enables us to reduce spaces of linear maps to tensor products, and I find tensor products easier. Simply  $V' \otimes W = \mathcal{L}(V, W'; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathcal{L}(V; W)$ .

(i) First, let us show that dualising distributes over the tensor product:  $(V \otimes W)' = V' \otimes W'$ . This follows since

$$(V \otimes W)' \cong \mathcal{L}(V'; W)' = \mathcal{L}(W; V') \cong \mathcal{L}(V, W; \mathbb{K}) = V' \otimes W'.$$

(Perhaps it is also a good exercise as to why the dual of the linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$  is the linear maps from  $W$  to  $V$ )

We can now prove (i) using (ii) and (iii). I'll show only the proof in the case  $n = 2$ , higher  $n$  follow similarly by induction.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2; W) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V_1; \mathcal{L}(V_2; W)) = \mathcal{L}(V_1; V_2' \otimes W) = V_1' \otimes (V_2' \otimes W) \\ &\cong V_1' \otimes V_2' \otimes W \\ \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes V_2; W) &\cong \mathcal{L}(V_1 \otimes V_2, W'; \mathbb{K}) = (V_1 \otimes V_2)' \otimes W \cong (V_1' \otimes V_2') \otimes W \\ &\cong V_1' \otimes V_2' \otimes W \end{aligned}$$

### 35. Riemannian metric.

Let  $X$  be a manifold. Let  $L(TX, TX; \mathbb{R})$  denote the vector bundle whose fibre over  $x \in X$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of bilinear forms  $T_x X \times T_x X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A Riemannian metric (or simply a metric) on  $X$  is a global smooth section  $G$  of this vector bundle, such that  $g(x)$  is a scalar product on  $T_x X$  for ever  $x \in X$  (it is symmetric and positive definite).

Show that every manifold has a Riemannian metric.

Hint. Choose a cover of  $X$  by coordinate charts. Construct a Riemannian metric in each coordinate chart. 'Glue' them all together using a partition of unity.

**Solution.** Let us first do this in a single coordinate chart  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we know that  $T(\phi)$  is a diffeomorphism between  $TU$  and  $T\mathbb{R}^n$ . This has an obvious Riemannian metric, namely the dot product. Explicitly, if  $v, w \in T_x U$ , then we define

$$g(x)(v, w) = T_x(\phi)v \cdot T_x(\phi)w.$$

We see that this very much depends on the choice of chart.

Now let  $X$  be covered by an atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(\alpha_\alpha)$  be a subordinate partition of unity. In each coordinate neighbourhood  $U_\alpha$  we have a Riemannian metric  $g_\alpha$ . Let  $g(x) = \sum \alpha_\alpha(x)g_\alpha(x)$ . This is a well-defined global section, because  $\alpha_\alpha$  vanishes outside  $U_\alpha$  and

at any point at most finitely many of the terms are non-zero. Bilinearity and symmetry are also immediate, because the sum of symmetric bilinear forms is again a symmetric bilinear form. It remains to show positive definiteness. But the partition of unity is non-negative, so  $g(x)$  must be non-negative. Suppose that  $v \in T_x X$  is a non-zero vector. There must be at least one  $\alpha_\alpha$  that does not vanish at  $x$  because they sum to 1, so it follows that

$$g(x)(v, v) = \sum \alpha_\alpha(x) g_\alpha(x)(v, v) \geq \alpha_0(x) g_0(x)(v, v) > 0.$$

This shows positive definiteness.

### Terminology

köherent = coherent. In English, we called these tensors pure, simple, or elementary.