

**14. Sections of vector bundles.**

Let  $(E, B, \pi)$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector bundle,  $f, f_1, f_2 : B \rightarrow E$  smooth sections of  $(E, B, \pi)$ , and  $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  a smooth function. Show:

- (a) The *zero section*  $O : B \rightarrow E$ ,  $b \mapsto 0_b$  is a smooth section. By  $0_b$  we mean this:  
 $F_b = \pi^{-1}[\{b\}]$  is a vector space, so it has a zero element  $0_b \in F_b \subset E$ . *(2 Points)*
- (b)  $f_1 + f_2$  and  $g \cdot f$  are smooth sections. *(2 Points)*
- (c) Interpret  $g$  as a global section of the trivial bundle  $E = \mathbb{K} \times B$ . *(1 Point)*
- (d) The image  $f[B]$  is a submanifold of  $E$ . *(3 Points)*

**Solution.** In parts (a) and (b) we need to show something is a smooth section. A section of a vector bundle  $(E, B, \pi)$  is a smooth map  $s : B \rightarrow E$  with  $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_B$  (Definition 1.57).

- (a) First we describe special charts on  $E$ . Choose neighbourhood  $U$  of  $b$  such that there is a local trivialisation of the vector bundle over  $U$ . A local trivialisation is a diffeomorphism  $\phi : F \times U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}[U]$  (Definition 1.49). By decreasing  $U$  if necessary, we may assume that  $U$  belongs to a chart  $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $B$ . Both  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  together give us a chart  $\chi = (\text{id} \times \psi) \circ \phi^{-1}$  of  $E$ , namely

$$\chi : E \rightarrow F \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad e \mapsto (f, b) = \phi^{-1}(e) \mapsto (f, \psi(b))$$

This is a special type of chart of  $E$  that shows the bundle structure.

We use the chart  $\psi$  on  $B$  and  $\chi$  on  $E$  to write  $O$  in local coordinates  $\chi \circ O \circ \psi^{-1}$ , which will allow us to see if it is a smooth map. In the local trivialisation, the point  $0_b$  splits as  $\phi^{-1}(0_b) = (0, b)$  because its definition is that it is the zero element of the fibre  $F$ . So  $\chi \circ O(b) = (0, \psi(b))$ . Hence  $\chi \circ O \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = (0, \psi(\psi^{-1}(x))) = (0, x)$ . This is a smooth function (in the Euclidean sense), so  $O$  is smooth (in the manifold sense).

The second property that we must show, namely that  $\pi \circ O = \text{id}_B$ , follows from the property of local trivialisations that they respect the projection  $\pi$ ; explicitly  $\pi \circ \phi(f, b) = b$ . Then

$$\pi \circ O(b) = \pi \circ \phi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ O(b) = \pi \circ \phi(0, b) = b.$$

We can turn this into a lemma: a function  $f : B \rightarrow E$  is a smooth section exactly when for every trivialisation  $\phi : F \times U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}[U]$  we have  $\phi^{-1} \circ (f|_U)(b) = (\tilde{f}(b), b)$  for a smooth function  $\tilde{f} : U \rightarrow F$ .

Proof:  $\chi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = (\tilde{f} \circ \psi^{-1}(x), x)$ , which is smooth exactly when  $\tilde{f} : U \subset B \rightarrow F$  is smooth ( $F$  is a vector space, so we use the chart  $\text{id}_F$ ). And we have seen that the  $\pi \circ f$  is equal to the second component of  $\phi^{-1} \circ f$ , so this must be  $b$ .

- (b) This question reduces to the fact that the operations are *fibre-wise*. This means that if we use a local trivialisation  $\phi$  to write the value of the section in a pair  $(f, b)$  the operation acts on the first of the pair. Then the sum is a smooth section because the sum of smooth functions to  $F$  is a smooth function to  $F$  and apply (a):

$$\phi^{-1} \circ (f_1 + f_2)(b) := (\tilde{f}_1(b) + \tilde{f}_2(b), b).$$

Likewise

$$\phi^{-1} \circ (g \cdot f)(b) := (g(b) \cdot \tilde{f}(b), b)$$

is a smooth section.

- (c) More generally from a global function  $\tilde{h} : B \rightarrow F$  we get a section  $b \mapsto (\tilde{h}(b), b)$  of the trivial bundle  $F \times B$ . Conversely, a section of this bundle gives a function through projection to the first component.

This does *not* work for any bundle, because in general we only have projection to the second component and this just gives back the point in  $B$ . Projection to the first component depends on the trivialisation  $\phi$ . For this reason, sections are a generalisation of functions from a manifold  $B$  to a vector space.

- (d) First observe that  $\pi \circ f = \text{id}_B$  shows that  $f$  is a homeomorphism. It remains to show that it is an immersion. But we have already seen that a section in local coordinates has the form  $\chi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = (\tilde{f} \circ \psi^{-1}(x), x)$ , so the Jacobian is  $(J(\tilde{f} \circ \psi^{-1}) \mid \mathbf{1})$ . The identity matrix block shows that it is injective.

## 15. The tangent bundles of low dimensional spheres.

In this exercise we will examine the tangent bundle of the  $n$ -sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^n := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1 \},$$

for  $n \leq 3$ .

- (a) We know that  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is an  $n$  dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and so the embedding map  $\iota$  is an immersion. Let  $v$  be a tangent vector in  $T_x \mathbb{S}^n$ . Show that  $w := T_x(\iota)v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is perpendicular to  $x$ .

Conversely, choose any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with  $\langle w, x \rangle = 0$  and set  $\alpha(t) = (\cos |w|t)x + (\sin |w|t)\hat{w}$ . Show that  $w = T_x(\iota)[\alpha]$ . (2 Points)

Hence we make the identification

$$T_x \mathbb{S}^n = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \langle w, x \rangle = 0 \}.$$

This means that we can describe a section of  $T\mathbb{S}^n$  as a smooth function  $s : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\langle s(x), x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$ .

- (b) Find a non-vanishing section of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{S}^1$  (a section that never takes the value 0).

Hence  $T\mathbb{S}^1$  is trivial. (3 Points)

- (c) Show that the vector bundle  $T\mathbb{S}^3$  is trivial. (2 Points)

Hint. Use Lemma 1.58 and consider the following sections

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (-x_2, x_1, x_4, -x_3), \quad f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (-x_3, -x_4, x_1, x_2)$$

$$\text{and } f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (-x_4, x_3, -x_2, x_1)$$

*Remark.* We can identify  $\mathbb{S}^3$  with the unit sphere in the Quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $f_1 = ix$ ,  $f_2 = jx$  and  $f_3 = kx$ .

- (d) Let  $x_N := (0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $x_S := (0, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{S}^2$ . With the aid of stereographic projection  $N$  and  $S$ , write down local trivialisations of  $T\mathbb{S}^2$  over  $U_N := \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{x_N\}$  and  $U_S := \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{x_S\}$  (compare Example 1.56), and calculate the transition function  $g_{U_N, U_S} : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N, S\} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . (8 Points)

*Remark.*  $T\mathbb{S}^2$  is not trivial, but this requires some more theory to prove. It is a consequence of the “hairy ball theorem”: every section of  $T\mathbb{S}^2$  has a zero.

### Solution.

- (a) Let  $\alpha$  be a path in  $\mathbb{S}^n$  representing  $v$ , that is  $x = \alpha(0)$  and  $v = [\alpha]$ . Then  $T_x(\iota)v = [\iota \circ \alpha]$ . But  $\iota$  is just the identity map considered as a map between manifolds so  $\iota \circ \alpha$  is just  $t \mapsto \alpha(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $w = \alpha'(0)$ .

To show that  $w$  is perpendicular to  $x$ , note that  $|\alpha(t)|^2 = 1$  because it lies in the sphere. Differentiating gives  $2\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t) = 0$ . At  $t = 0$  this gives  $x \cdot w = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is perpendicular to  $x$ . We need to find a path in  $\mathbb{S}^n$  with this as its tangent vector:  $\alpha(t) = (\cos |w|t)x + (\sin |w|t)\hat{w}$  works.

- (b) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  there is the rotation operator  $R(x, y) = (-y, x)$ . This creates an equal-length perpendicular vector, ie  $|x| = |R(x)|$  and  $x \cdot R(x) = 0$ . The section  $x \mapsto (R(x), x)$  is a section of the tangent bundle and non-vanishing.
- (c) First, note the value of these functions are perpendicular to  $x$ , eg  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \cdot (-x_2, x_1, x_4, -x_3) = -x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 + x_3x_4 - x_4x_3 = 0$ , and unit length  $|(-x_2, x_1, x_4, -x_3)| = |x| = 1$ . Hence they are non-vanishing sections of  $T\mathbb{S}^3$ . It remains to show they are linearly independent. But this follows from the fact that they are all perpendicular, eg

$$f_1 \cdot f_2 = (-x_2, x_1, x_4, -x_3) \cdot (-x_3, -x_4, x_1, x_2) = x_2x_3 - x_1x_4 + x_4x_1 - x_3x_2 = 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 1.58 it follows that  $T\mathbb{S}^3$  is trivial.

(d) This question relates to Example 1.56(i) which shows that a chart of a manifold gives a local trivialisation of its tangent bundle via the tangent map of the chart. So we should begin with the chart

$$N(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{1 - x_3}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle x, e_3 \rangle}(x - e_3) + e_3$$

$$N^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2}(2y_1, 2y_2, |y|^2 - 1) = \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2}(2y + (|y|^2 - 1)e_3).$$

Take a vector  $w \in T_{N(x)}\mathbb{R}^2$  for  $x \in U_N$ . We want to compute the push-forward of this vector  $T_{N(x)}(N^{-1})w$ . We can write a path representing  $w$  easily,  $\beta(t) = wt + N(x)$ . So compute  $T_{N(x)}(N^{-1})w = (N^{-1} \circ \beta)'(0)$ :

$$N^{-1} \circ \beta(t) = \frac{1}{1 + |\beta(t)|^2}(2\beta(t) + (|\beta(t)|^2 - 1)e_3)$$

$$(N^{-1} \circ \beta)'(t) = -\frac{2\beta(t) \cdot \beta'(t)}{(1 + |\beta(t)|^2)^2}(2\beta(t) + (|\beta(t)|^2 - 1)e_3)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 + |\beta(t)|^2}(2\beta'(t) + 2\beta(t) \cdot \beta'(t) e_3)$$

$$= -\frac{2\beta(t) \cdot \beta'(t)}{1 + |\beta(t)|^2}N^{-1}(\beta(t)) + \frac{1}{1 + |\beta(t)|^2}(2\beta'(t) + 2\beta(t) \cdot \beta'(t) e_3)$$

$$(N^{-1} \circ \beta)'(0) = \frac{2}{1 + |N(x)|^2}(w + \langle N(x), w \rangle (e_3 - x))$$

$$= (1 - x_3)(w + \langle N(x), w \rangle (e_3 - x))$$

Since  $w \cdot x = w \cdot (x_1, x_2) = w \cdot (1 - x_3)N(x)$  and  $|x|^2 = 1$  one can see that this vector above is perpendicular to  $x$  as expected. Thus

$$\phi_{U_N} : (w, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times U_N \mapsto (1 - x_3)(w + \langle N(x), w \rangle (e_3 - x)) \in T_x\mathbb{S}^2$$

defines a trivialisation of  $T\mathbb{S}^2$  over  $U_N$ .

If we repeat this calculation for

$$S(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{1 + x_3}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{1 + \langle x, e_3 \rangle}(x + e_3) - e_3$$

$$S^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2}(2y_1, 2y_2, 1 - |y|^2) = \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2}(2y + (1 - |y|^2)e_3),$$

then we get the trivialisation

$$\phi_{U_S} : (w, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times U_S \mapsto (1 + x_3)(w - \langle S(x), w \rangle (e_3 + x)) \in T_x\mathbb{S}^2$$

Next we want to find the transition between these two trivialisations, one approach is to compose them (where they are both defined on  $U_N \cap U_S = Q$ ) to get a function  $\phi_{U_S}^{-1} \circ \phi_{U_N} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times Q$  and then the part that maps from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$

gives  $g_{U_N, U_S}(x)$ . This method will work for any trivialisations; in the case that the trivialisations come from charts we give an easier method below.

$$\phi_{U_S}^{-1}(v) = \left( \frac{1}{1+x_3}v - \frac{v_3}{(1+x_3)^2}(e_3+x), x \right).$$

third component of  $\phi_{U_N}(w, x) = (1-x_3)(0 + \langle N(x), w \rangle (1-x_3))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{U_S}^{-1}(\phi_{U_N}(w, x)) &= \frac{1-x_3}{1+x_3} \left( w + \langle N(x), w \rangle (e_3-x) - \frac{\langle N(x), w \rangle (1-x_3)}{1+x_3} (e_3+x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1-x_3}{1+x_3} \left( w + \frac{2\langle N(x), w \rangle}{1+x_3} (x_3 e_3 - x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+x_3)^2} \left( (1-x_3^2)w + 2(x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2)(x_3 e_3 - x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $x_3 e_3 - x$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so this is well defined. Further it is linear in  $w$ . So for any  $x \in Q$  we have a linear map  $g_{U_N, U_S}(x)$  that maps  $w$  to the above vector:

$$g_{U_N, U_S}(x)w = \frac{1}{(1+x_3)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-x_3^2-2x_1^2 & -2x_2x_1 \\ -2x_1x_2 & 1-x_3^2+2x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} w$$

This is an invertible linear transformation because the determinant  $-(1+x_3)^{-2}(1-x_3)^2(x_1^2+x_2^2)$  is never zero on  $Q$ .

Another approach to finding the transition is to use the fact that the local trivialisations are  $T(\phi_{U_N}^{-1})$  and  $T(\phi_{U_S}^{-1})$  so that

$$g_{U_N, U_S} = (T(\phi_{U_S}^{-1}))^{-1} \circ T(\phi_{U_N}^{-1}) = T(\phi_{U_S}) \circ T(\phi_{U_N}^{-1}) = T(\phi_{U_S} \circ \phi_{U_N}^{-1}).$$

The transition between charts  $\phi_{U_S} \circ \phi_{U_N}^{-1}$  is simply  $y \mapsto \|y\|^{-2}y$ . And this is a map between Euclidean spaces, so the tangent map is just Jacobian and we calculate as normal:

$$J(\phi_{U_S} \circ \phi_{U_N}^{-1}(y)) = \frac{1}{\|y\|^4} \begin{pmatrix} y_2^2 - y_1^2 & -2y_1y_2 \\ -2y_1y_2 & y_1^2 - y_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We should write it not in local coordinates  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  but rather in terms of  $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$ , with  $y = N(x)$ . Then

$$g_{U_N, U_S}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x_3)^2} \begin{pmatrix} x_2^2 - x_1^2 & -2x_1x_2 \\ -2x_1x_2 & x_1^2 - x_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the same as the first method because of the relation  $1 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ .

## 16. Trivial and non-trivial bundles.

(a) **The tangent bundle of a vector space is trivial.** Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space. Show that the tangent bundle  $TV$  is trivial. (2 Points)

(b) **Line bundles over  $\mathbb{R}$  are trivial.** Prove that every line bundle (a vector bundle whose fibre dimension is 1) over  $\mathbb{R}$  is trivial. (8 Points)

Hint. Let  $(E, \mathbb{R}, \pi)$  be a line bundle. Choose a point  $x_0$  and show that there is an interval  $(x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$  with a non-vanishing section  $s$ . Then consider

$$J := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists an extension } s_x \text{ of } s \text{ to } (x, x_0 + \epsilon) \text{ or } (x_0 - \epsilon, x), \\ \text{such that } s_x \text{ is non-vanishing} \end{array} \right\},$$

where the choice of  $(x, x_0 + \epsilon)$  or  $(x_0 - \epsilon, x)$  depends whether  $x \leq x_0$  or  $x \geq x_0$ . Show that  $J$  is non-empty, open. Argue further that  $J = \mathbb{R}$ .

(c) **A non-trivial line bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .** On the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  choose the poles  $x_N = (0, 1)$  and  $x_S = (0, -1)$ . Then set

$$U_N := \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{x_N\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_S := \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{x_S\}.$$

The intersection  $Q = U_N \cap U_S = \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{x_N, x_S\}$  consists of two connected components  $H_+$  and  $H_-$ .

Work through the construction following Beispiel 1.51 of cocycles, that there is a line bundle determined by the cover  $(U_N, U_S), F := \mathbb{R}$  and the function

$$g_{U_N, U_S} : U_N \cap U_S \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} & \text{for } x \in H_+ \\ -\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} & \text{for } x \in H_- \end{cases}.$$

Prove that this bundle is non-trivial.

It is called the *Möbius band* or *Möbius bundle*. (8 Points)

Hint about the non-triviality: Suppose you had a non-vanishing section and examine it in the local trivialisations.

### Solution.

(a) Let  $\{e_k\}$  be the standard basis vectors of  $V$ . Recall in a vector space we have an identification of  $T_x V$  with  $V$  given by usual derivative. So then  $f_k : x \mapsto e_k$  is a section of  $TV$ . These sections are non-vanishing, linearly independent, and there are  $\dim V$  of them, so they trivialise  $TV$ .

(b) We might as well take  $x_0 = 0$ . There is a trivialisations  $\phi_U$  over  $U \ni 0$ .  $U$  is open, so contains an interval of the form  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . We may restrict to this interval, so we may assume that  $U = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Consider the local section  $s$  over  $U$  given by  $x \mapsto \phi_U(1, x) \in E$ . This is non-vanishing.

With  $s$  in hand, we can now define  $J$ . Immediately  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset J$  so it is non-empty. Choose  $x \in J$  with  $x > 0$ . Let  $V$  be a trivialisation containing  $x$ . Again we can assume that  $V = (x - \eta, x + \eta)$  with  $x - \eta > 0$ . In this trivialisation, for  $y \in (x - \eta, x)$  the local expression  $\phi_V^{-1} \circ s_x(y) = (f(y), y)$ . We know that  $f(y)$  is a non-vanishing smooth function. This extends to a non-vanishing function  $\tilde{f}(y)$  on all of  $(x - \eta, x + \eta)$ . Then

$$s_{x+\eta}(y) = \begin{cases} s_x(y) & \text{for } y \in (-\epsilon, x) \\ \phi_V(\tilde{f}(y), y) & \text{for } y \in (x - \eta, x + \eta) \end{cases}$$

is a smooth non-vanishing section on  $(-\epsilon, x + \eta)$ . There is a similar proof for  $x < 0$ . This shows that  $J$  is open.

Suppose that there were a point  $x \notin J$  with  $x > 0$ . Because  $J$  is open  $[0, x + 1] \cap (\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus J)$  is compact. Thus there is a minimum point  $x \notin J$  with  $x > 0$ . Choose an interval  $(x - \eta, x + \eta)$  over which  $E$  trivialises. Since  $x$  is minimal there must exist a non-vanishing section  $s_t$  over  $(-\epsilon, t)$  for  $t \in (x - \eta, x)$ . But then we can extend  $s_t$  to  $(-\epsilon, x + \eta)$  in the same way as above. Therefore  $x \in J$ , which is a contradiction. A similar argument shows that all negative points belong to  $J$  as well. This completes the proof that  $J = \mathbb{R}$ .

- (c) Consider the two spaces  $M_N = \mathbb{R} \times U_N$  and  $M_S = \mathbb{R} \times U_S$  with the relation  $(v, x) \in M_N \sim (w, x) \in M_S$  if  $x \in Q$  and  $w = g_{U_N, U_S}(x)v$ . Let  $M$  be the set of equivalence classes. There are inclusion maps  $M_1, M_2 \hookrightarrow M$ . A set is open in  $M$  if its restriction to both  $M_N$  and  $M_S$  are open. This gives  $M$  a topology. In particular,  $M_N \cup M_S$  is an open cover of  $M$ . The functions  $\text{id} \times N$  and  $\text{id} \times S$  are charts of  $M$ . This makes  $M$  a manifold. For any point of  $M$  its  $x$  value is well defined because it is the same under the equivalence relation.  $\pi(m) = x$  then makes  $(M, \mathbb{S}^1, \pi)$  a vector bundle with the local trivialisations  $M_N \rightarrow \pi^{-1}[U_N]$  and  $M_S \rightarrow \pi^{-1}[U_S]$ .

Suppose this bundle were trivial. Then there would be a non-vanishing section  $f$ . Over  $M_N$ , it would have the form  $U_N \rightarrow M_N$ ,  $x \mapsto (f_N(x), x)$  with  $f_N : U_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f$  is non-vanishing and  $U_N$  is connected,  $f_N$  has a constant sign; it is either entirely positive or entirely negative. Without loss of generality assume that it is positive (or consider  $-f$ ). But now consider  $f$  over  $M_S$  namely  $U_S \rightarrow M_S$ ,  $x \mapsto (f_S(x), x)$ . By the same reasoning,  $f_S$  must have a constant sign, but we can show that it does not. What is the relation between  $f_N$  and  $f_S$ ? It is  $f_S(x) = g_{U_N, U_S}(x)f_N(x)$ . This tells us that  $f_S$  is also positive on  $H_+$  and negative on  $H_-$ . This we have a contradiction, and there cannot be a non-vanishing section.

An alternative way to make this argument would be to suppose there was a section of  $M$  that was non-vanishing on  $U_N$ . Then because  $f_S$  would have one sign on  $H_+$  and a different sign on  $H_-$  it must vanish at  $x_S$  by the intermediate value theorem (Zwischenwertsatz).

**Terminology**

Schnitt = section

nullstellenfreien = non-vanishing

Geradenbündel = line bundle

American spelling is fiber, British spelling is fibre.