Martin Schmidt Ross Ogilvie

6. Extension of Continuous Linear Operators. Let X be a normed vector space and  $\bar{X}$  its completion. Let Y be a complete normed vector space. Suppose that  $L: X \to Y$  is a continuous linear operator. This means that there is a constant C such that  $||Lx|| \leq C||x||$  for all  $x \in X$ . Show that there is a unique continuous linear operator  $\bar{L}: \bar{X} \to Y$  extending L (ie  $\bar{L}x = Lx$  for all  $x \in X$ ). (3 Points)

**Solution.** Every element of  $x \in \overline{X}$  is the limit of a sequence  $x_n \in X$ . Since we want  $\overline{L}$  to be continuous, we are forced to define  $\overline{L}x := \lim Lx_n$ . We see that  $Lx_n$  is a Cauchy sequence

$$||Lx_n - Lx_m|| = ||L(x_n - x_m)|| \le C||x_n - x_m|| \to 0$$

and therefore it converges in Y, so this definition produces a value. If  $x'_n$  is another sequence converging to x, then  $L(x_n - x'_n)$  is a null sequence, so this other sequence gives the same value. Hence this definition of  $\overline{L}$  is well-defined.

It's easy to see it's linear. It's trivial that it's an extension of L. Since the norm is continuous

$$\|\bar{L}x\| = \lim \|Lx_n\| \le \lim C\|x_n\| = C\|x\|.$$

If there is another continuous extension, then the difference is a continuous linear function that vanishes on a dense subset. This difference therefore must be zero.

# 7. Distributions I.

(a) Show directly from Definition 2.6 that the Heaviside distribution

$$H: C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}, \ \phi \mapsto \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \ \mathrm{d}x$$

is a distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) By the definition of the derivative of a distribution

$$\partial H(\phi) = -H(\partial \phi) = -\int_0^\infty \phi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Simplify this expression in order to give a description of  $\partial H$ . ( $\partial$  here is the derivative in one-dimension. It seems weird to use an index.) (3 Points)

- (c) What is the support of  $\partial H$  (in the sense of distributions)? Why does this show that there is no function  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\partial H = F_f$ ? (3 Points)
- (d) Consider a function  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Show that  $\partial_i(F_f) = F_{\partial_i f}$ . What is the connection to Exercise 6?

(2 Points)

### Solution.

(2 Points)

(a) The integral is always finite because  $\phi$  has compact support. The integral is a linear operator. It only remains to show that H is continuous with respect to the semi-norms. Choose any compact set K and test function  $\phi$  with support in K. Then

$$|H(\phi)| \le \int_0^\infty |\phi| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| \le \mu(K) \, \|\phi\|_\infty = \mu(K) \, \|\phi\|_{K,0}$$

- (b) If we apply integration by parts we get  $-\phi(\infty) + \phi(0)$ . Since  $\phi$  has compact support, the first term is zero. Therefore  $H(\phi) = \phi(0)$ . This is the delta distribution.
- (c) By definition,  $(\operatorname{supp} \delta)^c$  is the union of all open sets U such that  $\delta(\phi) = 0$  for every test function  $\phi$  with support in U. If  $\phi$  is supported in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then  $\delta(\phi) = \phi(0) = 0$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq (\operatorname{supp} \delta)^c$ . Conversely, if  $0 \in U$  then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the bump function  $\phi_{B(0,\varepsilon)}$  has support in U. Because  $\phi_{B(0,\varepsilon)}(0) \neq 0$  we conclude that this U is not part of the union that comprises  $(\operatorname{supp} \delta)^c$  and thus  $0 \notin (\operatorname{supp} \delta)^c$ . We have shown that  $(\operatorname{supp} \delta)^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$

supp  $\delta = \{0\}$ . Therefore, if  $\delta = F_f$  then supp  $f = \{0\}$ . But if a function is supported only at one point, then it is equivalent to 0 in the sense of  $L^1$  functions. Since the delta distribution is not the zero distribution, it can not be from a function.

(d)

$$\partial_i(F_f)(\phi) = -F_f(\partial_i \phi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \partial_i \phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i f \phi = F_{\partial_i f}(\phi).$$

#### 8. On Convolutions.

- (a) Let f(x) = 1 for  $-1 \le x \le 1$  and 0 otherwise. Compute f \* f. (2 Points)
- (b) Show that the convolution of  $C_0^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a bilinear, commutative, and associative operation. (1+2 Points + 2 Bonus Points)
- (c) Denote a constant function on  $\mathbb{R}$  by 1. The Heaviside function  $H : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as H(x) := 1 for  $x \ge 0$  and H(x) := 0 for x < 0. The derivative of the Dirac distribution  $\delta'$  acts by  $\delta'(\phi) = -\phi'(0)$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  be a test function.
  - (i) Consider the distribution  $\phi * P\delta'$ . Which result from the script tells us that this distribution comes from a smooth function, even though  $\delta'$  does not? (1 Point)
  - (ii) Prove that  $\phi * P\delta' = F_{-\phi'}$ . (3 Points)
  - (iii) Thereby show that  $H * \delta' = \delta$  and  $\delta' * 1 = 0$ . (2 Points)
  - (iv) Complete the calculation of both  $(H * \delta') * 1$  and  $H * (\delta' * 1)$  in the sense of distributions and see that they are not equal. This shows that the convolution of distributions with non-compact support (on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is not necessarily associative, even when it is well-defined. (1 Point)

Solution.

(a)

$$f * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)f(y) \, dy = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x-y) \, dy = \int_{x+1}^{x-1} f(z) \, (-dz) = \int_{x-1}^{x+1} f(z) \, dz$$
$$= \int_{[x-1,x+1]\cap[-1,1]} 1 \, dz = \operatorname{len}([x-1,x+1]\cap[-1,1]).$$

(b) Commutativity follows from the substitution z = x - y. So it is enough to check bilinearity in the first argument, which is clear. The tricky thing is associativity. The easiest way is to try to reduce both integrals to a common form:

$$(f * g) * h(x) = \int (f * g)(x - z) h(z) dz = \int \left( \int f(x - z - y)g(y) dy \right) h(z) dz$$
$$= \iint f(x - y - z)g(y)h(z) dy dz,$$
$$f * (g * h)(x) = \int f(x - y) g * h(y) dy = \int f(x - y) \left( \int g(y - z)h(z) dz \right) dy$$
$$= \iint f(x - y)g(y - z)h(z) dz dy \quad \text{let } y = w + z, z = z$$
$$= \iint f(x - w - z)g(w)h(z) dz dw.$$

Ask yourself, where have we used the assumption that the functions are compactly supported?

- (c) (i) Lemma 1.11: The convolution of a distribution and a smooth function with compact support is a smooth function.
  - (ii) Let  $\psi$  be a test function. By definition of convolution of distributions  $\phi * P\delta'(\psi) = P\delta'(P\phi * \psi)$ . We should therefore investigate the argument.

$$P\phi * \psi(x) = \int P\phi(x-y)\psi(y) \, dy = \int \phi(y-x)\psi(y) \, dy.$$

Call this function j(x) for clarity. Now we must act  $P\delta'$  on this. We first unwind the definition of  $P: P\delta'(j) = \delta'(Pj)$ . We see

$$Pj(x) = j(-x) = \int \phi(y+x)\psi(y) \, dy$$
$$\delta'(Pj) = -\left.\frac{d}{dx}\right|_{x=0} \int \phi(y+x)\psi(y) \, dy = \int \left[-\phi'(y)\right]\psi(y) \, dy.$$

In other words

$$\phi * P\delta' : \psi \mapsto \int \Big[ -\phi'(y) \Big] \psi(y) \, dy.$$

Therefore we see that  $\phi * P\delta'$  acts on a test function  $\psi$  by integrating it along with  $-\phi'$ . Hence this distribution comes from  $-\phi'$ .

(iii) Observe in the definition of the convolution of two distributions  $F * G(\phi) = F(\phi * PG)$ that the result of the convolution inside F needs to be interpreted as a test function, not a distribution. Because of Lemma 1.11, this is possible since G has compact support.

$$H * \delta'(\phi) = H(\phi * P\delta') = H(-\phi') = \int_0^\infty -\phi'(y) \, dy$$
$$= \phi(0).$$

For the second convolution, we interpret this as the convolution of a distribution and a smooth function  $g * F(\phi) = F(\phi * Pg)$ :

$$\delta' * 1(\phi) = \delta'(\phi * P1)$$
  
$$\phi * P1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) \cdot 1 \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) \, dy, \text{ a constant in } x$$
  
$$\delta' * 1(\phi) = -0 = 0.$$

(iv)  $(H * \delta') * 1 = \delta * 1 = 1$  and  $H * (\delta' * 1) = H * 0 = 0$ .

#### 9. Distributions II.

(a) Show that

$$V(\phi) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx$$

is a distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hint: Split the integral into [0,1] and  $[1,\infty]$  and use the mean value theorem. (2 Bonus Points)

- (b) What is the relation of V to  $x^{-1}$ ? (1 Bonus Point)
- (c) Show that the function  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto ||x||^k$  is a locally integrable function for k > -n. (2 Bonus Points)
- (d) Let n = 3 and k = -1. Let  $U = F_u$  be the distribution associated to u. It follows from 5(b) that  $\partial_i u = -x_i ||x||^{-3}$ , which is also locally integrable, so expect  $\partial_i U$  to correspond to  $\partial_i u$ . However we only know this correspondence holds in situations like Exercise 7(d). Using careful manipulation of the integrals (in particular, cut-out a ball  $B(0, \varepsilon)$ ) show that our expectation holds. (4 Bonus Points)

## Solution.

(a) V is clearly linear. One can use L'Hopital's rule to see that the integrand is continuous at 0, and therefore integrable. Hence V defines a linear functional, and it remains to show that it is continuous. Let K be a compact set and  $\phi$  a test function on K. We can bound K in the interval [-R, R]. Let us therefore split the integral into two parts

$$V(\phi) = \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx + \int_1^R \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx.$$

The second integral is easily bound

$$\left| \int_{1}^{R} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx \right| \le 2 \|\phi\|_{K,0} \int_{1}^{R} \, dx \le 2R \|\phi\|_{K,0}$$

By the mean value theorem, for all x there is a  $y \in [-x, x]$  such that

$$\phi'(y) = \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{2x}$$

This allows us to bound the first integral

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx \right| \le 2 \|\phi\|_{K,1}$$

Together this gives  $|V(\phi)| \leq 2R \|\phi\|_{K,0} + 2\|\phi\|_{K,1}$ .

- (b)  $x^{-1}$  is not a local integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  so does not correspond to a distribution. However, if  $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi$  then  $V(\phi) = F_{x^{-1}}(\phi)$ . So we can think of V as one possible extension of  $x^{-1}$ .
- (c) Away from the origin u is continuous, so of course it is locally integrable. So we just need to consider an open set containing the origin:

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |u(x)| \, dx = \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,r)} r^k \, d\sigma \, dr = \int_0^1 r^k \, n\omega_n r^{n-1} \, dr = n\omega_n \int_0^1 r^{k+n-1} \, dr$$

is finite if k > -n.

(d) By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i U(\phi) &= -U(\partial_i \phi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \,\partial_i \phi \\ &= -\int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} u \,\partial_i \phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,\varepsilon)} u \,\partial_i \phi \\ &= -\int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} u \,\partial_i \phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,\varepsilon)} \partial_i (u\phi) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,\varepsilon)} \partial_i u \,\phi \\ &= -\int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} u \,\partial_i \phi + \int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} u\phi \,x_i ||x||^{-1} \,d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,\varepsilon)} \partial_i u \,\phi \end{aligned}$$

We examine the three integrals in the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . The first integral we know goes to zero, because we can pull out  $\|\partial_i \phi\|_{\infty}$  and then we know that u is integrable. The idea for the second integral is similar

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} u\phi \, x_i \|x\|^{-1} \, d\sigma \right| \le \|\phi\|_{\infty} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} |x_i| \, d\sigma \le \|\phi\|_{\infty} \varepsilon^{-2} \times \varepsilon \, 4\pi \varepsilon^2 \to 0.$$

The third term is exactly what we want. So taking limits

$$\partial_i U(\phi) = 0 + 0 + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash B(0,\varepsilon)} \partial_i u \, \phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i u \, \phi = F_{\partial_i u}(\phi)$$