

Exercise 2.1

(i) Let us assume that X, Y are indistinguishable. Let $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \,\forall t \in [0, T]\} \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)\}$$

and it follows that

$$1 \ge \mathbb{P}(X_t = Y_t) \ge \mathbb{P}(X_t = Y_t \,\forall t \in [0, T]) = 1,$$

which implies $\mathbb{P}(X_t = Y_t) = 1$, i.e., by definition X, Y are modifications of each other.

Now, let us assume that X, Y are modifications of each other and w.l.o.g. right-continuous (the left-continuous case works analogously).

Write N_X and N_Y for the P-null sets where X and Y are not right-continuous, respectively. Further, let $\{q_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, T]$ be the set of rational points in [0, T] and consider $N_{q_k} =$ $\{\omega \in \Omega : X_{q_k}(\omega) \neq Y_{q_k}(\omega)\}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$ Since X, Y are modifications of each other, we have $\mathbb{P}(N_{q_k}) = 0, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$ Hence, it holds $\mathbb{P}(N) = 0$ for $N := N_X \cup N_Y \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} N_{q_k} \in \mathcal{F}$ as N is defined

as a countable union of null sets.

Clearly, we have $\mathbb{P}(N^c) = 1$ and we show that if $\omega \in N^c$, then $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. This then implies the result.

So let $\omega \in N^{c}$, i.e., $(*) \ \omega \in N_{X}^{c} \cap N_{Y}^{c}$ and $(**) \ \omega \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} N_{q_{k}}^{c}$, and let $t \in [0, T]$.

Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} , we can find a sequence $(q_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,T]$ in the set of rational points in [0,T] such that $(q_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and converges to t. It follows

$$X_t(\omega) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \lim_{k \to \infty} X_{q_k}(\omega) \stackrel{(**)}{=} \lim_{k \to \infty} Y_{q_k}(\omega) \stackrel{(*)}{=} Y_t(\omega),$$

where we used the fact that X, Y are right-continuous. Consequently, X, Y are indistinguishable.

(ii) Let $\Omega = [0, T], \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ and \mathbb{P} be a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Consider $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, where $X_t(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t=\omega\}}$, and $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, where $Y_t(\omega) = 0, t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega$. Note that X is neither left- nor right-continuous. Then X, Y are modifications of each other: Let $t \in [0, T]$. Then, $X_t(\omega) = 0 = Y_t(\omega)$, for $t \neq \omega$, and $X_t(\omega) = 1 \neq 0 = Y_t(\omega)$, for $t = \omega$.

Since \mathbb{P} has a density, it holds $\mathbb{P}(\{t\}) = 0$, hence $\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \neq t\}) = 1$. So, we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega), t \neq \omega\}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega), t = \omega\})$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : t \neq \omega\}) + \mathbb{P}(\emptyset)$$
$$= 1 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = Y_t \; \forall t \in [0,T]) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [0,T] : X_t \neq Y_t) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 0,$$

so X, Y are not indistinguishable.

Exercise 2.2 (Lemma 2.14.)

(i) W.l.o.g. we assume $M_0(\omega) = 0 \ \forall \omega \in \Omega$. Otherwise, consider $(M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ where $M_t = M_t - M_0$.

(ii) Let $0 = t_0 \leq \cdots \leq t_n = t, t \in [0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, since M is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_i}M_{t_{i-1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_i}M_{t_{i-1}}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_i}|\mathcal{F}_{t_i-1}\right]M_{t_{i-1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_{i-1}}^2\right].$$
 (1)

Applying a telescope sum argument and since $M_0 = 0$ a.s., we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2} - M_{0}^{2}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_{i}}^{2} - M_{t_{i-1}}^{2}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_{i}}^{2} - 2M_{t_{i-1}}^{2} + M_{t_{i-1}}^{2}\right]$$
$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[(M_{t_{i}} - M_{t_{i-1}})^{2}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{t_{i}} - M_{t_{i-1}})^{2}\right].$$

(iii) Let $(\Pi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of partitions such that $|\Pi_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $t \in T$. By abuse of notation we write $\sum_{t_i\in\Pi_n}$ or $\sup_{t_i\in\Pi_n}$, where the sum or the supremum, respectively, is taken over $0 = t_0 \leq \ldots \leq t_n = t$ for $(t_{i-1}, t_i] \in \Pi_n$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2}\right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{t_{i} \in \Pi_{n} \\ i \in \Pi_{n}}} (M_{t_{i}} - M_{t_{i-1}})^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{t_{i} \in \Pi_{n} \\ i \in \Pi_{n}}} |M_{t_{i}} - M_{t_{i-1}}| \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{t_{i} \in \Pi_{n} \\ \leq |M|_{t} \leq C \text{ by ass.}}}_{\leq |M|_{t} \leq C \text{ by ass.}}\right]$$

$$\dim_{conv.} \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \underbrace{\sup_{\substack{t_{i} \in \Pi_{n} \\ \to 0 \text{ by cont. of } M, |\Pi_{n}| \to 0}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ by cont. of } M, |\Pi_{n}| \to 0}\right]$$

$$= 0.$$

This implies that $M_t = 0$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$. Consequently, by Exercise 2.1.(i) and since M is continuous,

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : M_t(\omega) = 0 \,\forall t \in [0, T]\}) = 1.$$

(iv) Note that $(M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ and $(|M|_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ are continuous and adapted stochastic processes. By Exercise 1.2. τ_n is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping time, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, by optional stopping (see Theorem 2.11.) $(M_{\tau_n \wedge t})_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a martingale and satisfies the conditions in (ii) and (iii). Additionally, $\tau_n \to \infty$, $\tau_n \wedge t \to t$ and since M is continuous, we have $M_{\tau_n \wedge t} \to M_t$ as $n \to \infty$. It then holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{\tau_n \wedge t}^2\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Fatou}}{\leq} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau_n \wedge t}^2\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{\equiv} 0.$$

This again implies that $M_t = 0$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$. As above we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : M_t(\omega) = 0 \,\forall t \in [0, T]\}) = 1$$

Exercise 2.3

Recall the definition of a local martingale (Definition 2.16.)

An (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted process $(X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is called **local martingale** if there is an increasing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping times with $\tau_n \uparrow T$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $(X_t^n)_{t\in[0,T]} := (X_{t\wedge\tau_n} - X_0)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -martingale for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called **localizing sequence** for $(X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$.

- (i) Let $\tau_n := \inf\{t \in [0,T] : |M_t| \ge n\} \land T, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ is continuous, it follows by Exercise 1.2. that τ_n is a stopping time, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that by definition $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence and $\tau_n \uparrow T$ P-a.s. Now, let $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the localizing sequence for $(M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we know that $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a localizing sequence for $(M_t^n)_{t \in [0,T]} := (M_{t \land \tau_n} - M_0)_{t \in [0,T]}$. So $(M_t^n)_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a continuous local martingale and bounded. Therefore Proposition 2.18.(ii) tells us that $(M_t^n)_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a martingale. This on the other hand implies that $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a localizing sequence for $(M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$.
- (ii) W.l.o.g. $M_0 = 0$. Let $M_t \ge c, t \in [0, T]$, and $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a localizing sequence for $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$. Consider the local martingale $(\tilde{M}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ where $\tilde{M}_t = M_t - c \ge 0$. Clearly, if \tilde{M} is a supermartingale, then M is a super-martingale. Hence, we can assume c = 0. Consequently, applying Fatou's lemma we find for $t \in [0,T]$

$$\mathbb{E}[|M_t|] = \mathbb{E}[M_t] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \to \infty} M_t^{\tau_n}] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_t^{\tau_n}] = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_0^{\tau_n}] = \mathbb{E}[M_0] < \infty$$

since $M_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence M is integrable. Furthermore, for $s \leq t$ we have, applying Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \to \infty} M_t^{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_s] \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_t^{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_s] = \liminf_{n \to \infty} M_s^{\tau_n} = M_s \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since M is also adapted (as a local martingale), it follows that M is a super-martingale.