

### Exercise 1.1

Recall the definition of a Brownian motion (see Definition 2.1).

A real-valued stochastic process  $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is called a (standard one-dimensional) **Brownian motion** if

(BM0)  $B_0 = 0$  a.s.

(BM1)  $B$  has independent increments, i.e.,  $B_{t_0} - B_0, B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are independent random variables for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$ .

(BM2) The increments of  $B$  are stationary and normally distributed, i.e.,

$$B_t - B_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|), \quad s, t \in [0, T].$$

(BM3)  $B$  has almost surely continuous sample paths, i.e., the map  $t \mapsto B_t(\omega)$  is continuous for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Comment: We only check (BM0) - (BM3).  $(W_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$ ,  $(W_t^2)_{t \in [0, T-s]}$  and  $(W_t^3)_{t \in [0, T]}$  are real-valued stochastic processes.

(i) We separately check (BM0) - (BM3).

(BM0) This is clear since  $W_0^1 = -B_0 = 0$  a.s.

(BM1) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$ . Then we have that

$$B_{t_0}, \quad B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

are independent and so are

$$W_{t_0}^1 = -B_{t_0}, \quad W_{t_i}^1 - W_{t_{i-1}}^1 = -(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(BM2) Recall that for any real-valued random variable  $X$  it holds that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  if and only if  $-X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . So with

$$B_t - B_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|), \quad s, t \in [0, T]$$

we can conclude that

$$W_t^1 - W_s^1 = -(B_t - B_s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|), \quad s, t \in [0, T].$$

(BM3) This is clear since  $B$  has almost surely continuous paths and  $x \rightarrow -x$  is a continuous function.

(ii) We separately check (BM0) - (BM3).

(BM0) This is clear since  $W_0^2 = B_{s+0} - B_s = 0$  (a.s.)

(BM1) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T - s$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t_0}^2 &= B_{s+t_0} - B_s \quad \text{and} \quad W_{t_i}^2 - W_{t_{i-1}}^2 = B_{s+t_i} - B_s - (B_{s+t_{i-1}} - B_s) \\ &= B_{s+t_i} - B_{s+t_{i-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Put  $t'_i := s + t_i$  and note that  $0 \leq s \leq t'_0 < t'_1 < \dots < t'_n \leq T$ . With (1) and (BM1) we then get that the increments of  $W^2$  are independent.

(BM2) Let  $t, t' \in [0, T - s]$ . Again, it holds

$$W_t^2 - W_{t'}^2 = B_{s+t} - B_s - (B_{s+t'} - B_s) = B_{s+t} - B_{s+t'}. \quad (2)$$

Note that  $s + t, s + t' \in [0, T]$  and  $s + t - (s + t') = t - t'$ . With (2) and (BM2) we then conclude that

$$W_t^2 - W_{t'}^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, |t - t'|).$$

(BM3) This follows directly since  $W^2$  is simply a shift in  $t$  by  $s$  minus a random variable not depending on  $t$ . So  $t \rightarrow W_t^2(\omega)$  is continuous for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$  as a composition of continuous functions.

(iii) We separately check (BM0) - (BM3).

(BM0) This is clear since  $W_0^3 = \alpha B_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} B'_0 = 0$  a.s.

(BM1) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$ . Then we have

$$W_{t_0}^3 = \alpha B_{t_0} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} B'_{t_0}, \quad W_{t_i}^3 - W_{t_{i-1}}^3 = \alpha (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} (B'_{t_i} - B'_{t_{i-1}}), \\ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

which are independent since  $B$  and  $B'$  are independent by assumption and we know that

$$B_{t_0}, B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad B'_{t_0}, B'_{t_1} - B'_{t_0}, \dots, B'_{t_n} - B'_{t_{n-1}}$$

are independent.

(BM2) Let  $s, t \in [0, T]$ . Again, it holds

$$W_t^3 - W_s^3 = \alpha(B_t - B_s) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}(B'_t - B'_s). \quad (3)$$

Since  $B$  and  $B'$  are BMs, we have that

$$X_t - X_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|), \quad X \in \{B, B'\}. \quad (4)$$

Recall that it holds for any real-valued random variables  $Y_1, Y_2$ : if  $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2)$  are independent, then any linear combination  $a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , is again normally distributed, particularly

$$a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, a_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + a_2^2 \sigma_2^2).$$

Using this and (3) and (4), we conclude that

$$W_t^3 - W_s^3 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha^2 |t - s| + (1 - \alpha^2) |t - s|) \\ = \mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|).$$

(BM3) This is clear since  $W^3$  is a linear combination of a.s. continuous processes. So,  $t \rightarrow W_t^3(\omega)$  is continuous for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$  as a composition of continuous functions.

(iv) Choose  $B' = \pm B$ . Clearly,  $B$  and  $B'$  are not independent. In this case, we have

$$W^3 = (\alpha \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) B.$$

We now show that  $W^3$  does not satisfy (BM2) and is consequently not a Brownian motion:

Let  $s, t \in [0, T]$ . We have

$$W_t^3 - W_s^3 = (\alpha \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})(B_t - B_s)$$

Applying (BM2) for  $B$  we get that

$$W_t^3 - W_s^3 \sim N(0, (\alpha \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})^2 |t - s|).$$

But  $(\alpha \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})^2 \neq 1$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . This concludes the example showing that if  $B$  and  $B'$  are not independent, then  $W^3$  need not be a Brownian motion.

## Exercise 1.2

Recall the definition of a stopping time (see Definition 2.10)

A random variable  $\tau$  with values in  $[0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$  is called a **stopping time** (with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ ) if

$$\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, \infty).$$

(i) Let  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . We show that  $\{\tau_A \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

$(X_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  is right-continuous and  $A$  is an open set, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tau_A < t\} &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \exists 0 \leq s < t : X_s(\omega) \in A\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \exists s \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq s < t : X_s(\omega) \in A\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq s < t} \{X_s \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \end{aligned}$$

since  $\{X_s \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $0 \leq s < t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

In more detail: Let  $\omega \in \{\tau_A < t\}$ , i.e.,  $\exists 0 \leq s < t$  such that  $X_s(\omega) \in A$ .  $A$  is open, so  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\varepsilon(X_s(\omega)) \subset A$ . And since  $X$  is right-continuous,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for  $\tilde{s} \in [s, s + \delta]$   $|X_{\tilde{s}}(\omega) - X_s(\omega)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , i.e.,  $X_{\tilde{s}} \in B_\varepsilon(X_s(\omega)) \subset A$ . We know that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq s < t$ , so we find  $s \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq s < t$  such that  $X_s(\omega) \in A$ .

The filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  is assumed to be right-continuous, so it holds

$$\{\tau_A \leq t\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_A < t + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \bigcap_{s < t} \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_{t+} = \mathcal{F}_t$$

since  $\{\tau_A < t + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t + \frac{1}{n}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) Let  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . We show that  $\{\tau_A \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

Consider the open sets  $A_n = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : d(y, A) < \frac{1}{n}\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(y, A) = \inf_{x \in A} |x - y|$ . Then  $A \subset A_n$  and  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ .

Since  $A$  is closed, it is  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ .  $X$  is continuous and it follows that  $\tau_{A_n} \leq \tau_{A_{n+1}} \leq \tau_A, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Claim:  $\{\tau_A \leq t\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_{A_n} < t\}$ .

Proof: Let  $\omega \in \{\tau_A \leq t\}$ . This implies  $\tau_{A_n}(\omega) < t$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\{\tau_A \leq t\} \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_{A_n} < t\}$ .

Let  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_{A_n} < t\}$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists s \in [0, t)$  such that  $X_s(\omega) \in A_n$ . Since  $X$  is continuous and  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , this gives  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_{A_n} < t\} \subset \{\tau_A \leq t\}$ .

As in (i), we get that  $\{\tau_{A_n} < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We conclude that

$$\{\tau_A \leq t\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\tau_{A_n} < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

## Exercise 1.3

Recall the definition of a martingale (see Definition 2.8.):

Let  $(M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  be a real-valued  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted stochastic process with  $\mathbb{E}[|M_t|] < \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .  $(M_t)$  is called a **martingale** if  $\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s$  a.s., for all  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s \leq t$ .

(i) The Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a martingale: Indeed,  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a real-valued  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted stochastic process and  $\mathbb{E}[|B_t|] \stackrel{\text{CSI}}{\leq} \mathbb{E}[B_t^2]^{1/2} = \sqrt{t} < \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Let  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s < t$ . Then  $B_t - B_s$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  (Markov property, Lemma 2.6.). It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[B_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = B_s + \mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = B_s + \mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s] = B_s \quad \text{a.s.}$$

since  $B_t - B_s \sim N(0, t - s)$ .

And clearly,  $E[B_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = B_s$  a.s. for  $s, t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s = t$ .

(ii) Again,  $(X_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a real-valued  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted stochastic process and

$\mathbb{E}[|X_t^2|] = \mathbb{E}[|B_t^2 - t|] \stackrel{\Delta\text{-ineq.}}{\leq} \mathbb{E}[B_t^2] + t = 2t < \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . And it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[B_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[(B_t - B_s + B_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - t \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(B_t - B_s)^2 + 2(B_t - B_s)B_s + B_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - t \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(B_t - B_s)^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s]B_s + B_s^2 - t \\ &= (t - s) + B_s^2 - t \\ &= B_s^2 - s \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

for  $s, t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s < t$ . Here, we applied properties of the conditional expectation and again the Markov property and that  $B_t - B_s \sim N(0, t - s)$ .

And clearly,  $E[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s^2$  a.s. for  $s, t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s = t$ .

(iii) For  $\sigma > 0$  the *stochastic exponential*  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  of  $(\sigma B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ , given by

$$Z_t := \exp\left(\sigma B_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

is a martingale: Indeed,  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a real-valued  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted stochastic process.

Let  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Note that  $B_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{t}B_1$  and  $B_1 \sim N(0, 1)$ , i.e., (\*)  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\alpha B_1)] = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|Z_t|] &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma B_t)] \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma\sqrt{t}B_1)] \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) = 1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s, t \in [0, T]$  with  $s < t$ . Again note that  $B_t - B_s \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{t - s}B_1$ . Then the Markov property of  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  and properties of the conditional expectation give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sigma B_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\sigma B_t - \sigma B_s) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] \exp\left(\sigma B_s - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\sigma(B_t - B_s))\right] \exp\left(\sigma B_s - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\sigma\sqrt{t - s}B_1)\right] \exp\left(\sigma B_s - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t - s)\right) \exp\left(\sigma B_s - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sigma B_s - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s\right) \\ &= Z_s \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

And clearly,  $E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_s$  a.s. for  $s, t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s = t$ .