Effect of scaling on the method of steepest descent

Let $A \in S^n$ be positive definite, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ continuously differentiable. Using the change of variables x := Ay, define h(y) := f(Ay).

Compute the gradient of h.

Answer: It holds: $\nabla h(y) = A^{\top} \nabla f(x) = A \nabla f(x)$

Proof:

First we show the following: Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, with g(y) = Ay for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then, its derivative (Jacobian) at any point y is $J_g(y) = A$.

Since x := g(y) = Ay has components $x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}y_k$, it follows for the components:

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}(x) = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_j} = a_{ij}$$

and thus the derivative (Jacobian) of g at point y is $J_g(y) = \left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}(y)\right)_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\j=1,\dots,n}} = (a_{ij})_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\j=1,\dots,n}} = A$

Recall that the Jacobian of a mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is defined as:

$$J_{f}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f_{1}^{\top}(x) \\ \nabla f_{2}^{\top}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_{m}^{\top}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that for a scalar-valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, it holds $\nabla f(x) = J_f(x)^\top$.

From the definition above, we see that $h(y) := f(Ay) = f(g(y)) = (f \circ g)(y)$. Using the Jacobian matrix $J_f(y)$ as representation of the total derivative h' at a point y (see the statement at the bottom), we get:

$$J_h(y) = J_{(f \circ g)}(y) = J_f(g(y))J_g(y)$$

Since $J_h = \nabla^{\top} h$, we get: $\nabla^{\top} h(y) = \nabla^{\top} f(g(y)) A \implies \nabla h(y) = A^{\top} \nabla f(g(y))$. By the symmetry of A and x = g(y), we get the result.

Using a different (but equivalent) formulation of the multivariate chain rule frequently found in textbooks, and due to x = g(y) = Ay, and the symmetry of A, we also get the result:

$$\nabla_y h(y) = \nabla_y (f \circ g)(y) = \left(J_g(y)\right)^\top \left(\nabla_x f\right)(\underbrace{g(y)}_{=x}) = A^\top \nabla_x f(x) \underset{A^\top = A}{=} A \nabla_x f(x)$$

The multivariate chain rule can be stated as follows:

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$, and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. Then, the composition $h = (f \circ g) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, h(a) := f(g(a)) is well-defined.

If g is differentiable at point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with total derivative g'(a)(represented by the Jacobian matrix $J_a(a)$),

and if f is differentiable at point b = g(a) with total derivative f'(b)(represented by the Jacobian matrix $J_f(b)$),

then the composition h is differentiable at point a with total derivative $h'(a) = f'(b) \circ g'(a) = f'(g(a)) \circ g'(a)$,

(represented by the Jacobian matrix product $J_h(a) = J_f(g(a))J_q(a)$).