Optimization in Machine Learning HWS 2024

Solution Sheet 2

For the exercise class on the 03.10.2024 at 12:00.

Hand in your solutions by 10:15 in the lecture on Tuesday 01.10.2024.

Exercise 1 (Descent Directions of a Maximum). Let $x_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a strict local maximum of $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. Prove that every $d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a descent direction of f in x_* .

Solution. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such, that x_* is a strict maximum in $B_{\epsilon}(x_*) \setminus \{x_*\}$, where existence of such an ϵ is the strict local maximum property. We now have for any direction d that it is a descent direction, because with $\bar{\alpha}=\frac{\epsilon}{\|d\|}>0$ we have for all $\alpha\in(0,\bar{\alpha}]$

 $f(x_* + \alpha d) < f(x_*),$

since $x_* + \alpha d \in B_{\epsilon}(x_*) \setminus \{x_*\}$ as $\|\alpha d\| \leq \bar{\alpha} \|d\| = \epsilon$.

Exercise 2 (Convergence to Stationary Point). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function.

(i) Let $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be defined by gradient descent

$$\omega_{K+1} = \omega_K = \omega_K + J(\omega_K), \quad \omega_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with diminishing step size $\alpha_k > 0$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$. Suppose that $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $x_* \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{d}}$. Prove that x_* is a stationary point of f, i.e. $\nabla f(x_*) = 0$. (2.5 pts)

 $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k), \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Solution. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n \ge 0$ such that for all $i, j \ge n$ we have by Cauchy-Schwarz and convergence of $\nabla f(x_i)$ to $\nabla f(x_*)$ due to continuity of ∇f

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla f(x_i), \nabla f(x_j) \rangle \\ &= \| \nabla f(x_*) \|^2 + \langle \nabla f(x_i) - \nabla f(x_*), \nabla f(x_*) \rangle + \langle \nabla f(x_i), \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(x_*) \rangle \\ \overset{\text{C.S.}}{\geq} \| \nabla f(x_*) \|^2 - \underbrace{\| \nabla f(x_i) - \nabla f(x_*) \|}_{\leq \epsilon} \| \nabla f(x_*) \| - \underbrace{\| \nabla f(x_i) \|}_{\leq ||\nabla f(x_*)|| + \epsilon} \underbrace{\| \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(x_*) \|}_{\leq \epsilon} \\ &\geq \| \nabla f(x_*) \|^2 - 2\epsilon \| \nabla f(x_*) \| - \epsilon^2 =: p(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

This results in

$$\|x_n - x_m\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)\right\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=n}^{m-1} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \nabla f(x_i), \nabla f(x_j) \rangle$$
$$\geq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \alpha_k\right)^2 p(\epsilon)$$

(5 Points)

(1 Points)

Universität Mannheim

Prof. Simon Weißmann, Felix Benning

Taking the limit over m results in

$$\infty > ||x_n - x_*||^2 \ge \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \alpha_k\right)^2}_{=\infty} p(\epsilon).$$

So we necessarily need $p(\epsilon) \leq 0$. But as ϵ was arbitrary, we have

$$0 \le \|\nabla f(x_*)\|^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} p(\epsilon) \le 0.$$

(ii) Assume that f is also L-smooth. Prove for x_n generated by gradient descent with constant step size $\alpha \in (0, \frac{2}{L})$ we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_m)}{\alpha(1 - \frac{L}{2}\alpha)} \le \frac{f(x_n) - \min_x f(x)}{\alpha(1 - \frac{L}{2}\alpha)}$$

for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Deduce for the case $\min_x f(x) > -\infty$, that we have

$$\min_{k \le n} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \in o(1/n).$$
(2.5 pts)

Solution. By L-smoothness of f, we have

$$f(x_{k+1}) \le f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), \underbrace{x_{k+1} - x_k}^{-\alpha \nabla f(x_k)} + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

= $f(x_k) - (\alpha - \frac{L}{2}\alpha^2) \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$

and therefore

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \sum_{k=n}^{m} \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})}{\alpha(1 - \frac{L}{2}\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{telescope}}{=} \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_m)}{\alpha(1 - \frac{L}{2}\alpha)}.$$

Now $a_n := \min_{k \le n} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$ is non-increasing, therefore

$$na_{2n} \le \sum_{k=n}^{2n} a_k \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Thus $a_{2n} \in o(1/n)$. And we can simply bound the odd elements of the sequence

$$a_{2n+1} \le a_{2n} \in o(1/n).$$

Exercise 3 (Optimizing Quadratic Functions).

In this exercise we consider functions of type

$$f(x) = x^T A x + b^T x + c,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, b \in \mathbb{R}^d, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

(9 Points)

(i) Let $H := A^T + A$ be invertible. Prove that f can be written in the forms

$$f(x) = (x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + \tilde{c}$$
(1)

$$= \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T \underbrace{(A^T + A)}_{-:H}(x - x_*) + \tilde{c}$$
(2)

for some $x_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}$. Argue that H is always symmetric. Under which circumstances is x_* a minimum? (3 pts)

Solution. We want for some x_*

$$f(x) \stackrel{!}{=} (x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + \tilde{c} = x^T A x \underbrace{-x^T A x_* - x_*^T A x}_{= -x_*^T (A + A^T) x \stackrel{!}{=} b^T x} + \underbrace{x_*^T A x_* + \tilde{c}}_{\stackrel{!}{=} c}$$

So we simply select

$$x_* := -(A + A^T)^{-1}b$$
 and $\tilde{c} := c - x_*^T A x_*$

This proves our first representation (1). For (2) we simply note

$$y^T A y = \langle y, A y \rangle \stackrel{\text{symm.}}{=} \langle A y, y \rangle = y^T A^T y.$$

Applying this to $y = x - x_*$ in (1) we are done.

Symmetry of H follows directly from its definition as $H_{ij} = A_{ji} + A_{ij} = H_{ji}$.

Now x_* is a minimum iff H is positive definite. If it is positive definite, then x_* is a minimum by $\nabla^2 f(x) = H$ and the lecture. If H is not positive definite, we need to show that there exists some x such that $f(x) \le m$ for all $m \le \tilde{c}$. Since H is not positive definite, there exists some y such that

$$y^T H y =: -\varepsilon < 0$$

define $x = x_* + y\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{c}-m}{\varepsilon}}$. Then

$$f(x) = \frac{\tilde{c} - m}{\varepsilon} y^T H y + \tilde{c} = m.$$

(ii) Argue that the Newton Method (with step size $\alpha_n = 1$) applied to f would jump to x_* in one step and then stop moving. (1 pt)

Solution. Taking the derivative of (2) we get

$$\nabla f(x) = H(x - x_*). \tag{3}$$

So with $\nabla^2 f(x) = H$ and

$$x_* = x - H^{-1}H(x - x_*) = x - [\nabla^2 f(x)]^{-1}\nabla f(x).$$

we have that the Newton Method finds x_* in one step. By (3) we also get $\nabla f(x_*) = 0$ which stops the Newton method afterwards.

(iii) Let $V = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ be an orthonormal basis such that

$$H = V \operatorname{diag}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d] V^T$$

with $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_d$ and write

$$y^{(i)} := \langle y, v_i \rangle.$$

Express $(x_n - x_*)^{(i)}$ in terms of $(x_0 - x_*)^{(i)}$, where x_n is given by the gradient descent recursion

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - h\nabla f(x_n).$$

For which step size h do all the components $(x_n - x_*)^{(i)}$ converge to zero? Which component has the slowest convergence speed? Find the optimal learning rate h^* and deduce for this learning rate

$$\|x_n - x_*\| \le (1 - \frac{2}{1+\kappa})^n \|x_0 - x_*\|.$$

r $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_1}.$ (5 pts)

with the condition number $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}$

Solution. Using the representation (3) of the gradient again and subtracting x_* from our recursion, we get

$$x_{n+1} - x_* = x_n - x_* - hH(x_n - x_*) = [\mathbb{I} - hH](x_n - x_*)$$

Therefore

$$(x_{n+1} - x_*)^{(i)} = \langle [\mathbb{I} - hH](x_n - x_*), v_i \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{H \text{ symmetric}}{=} \langle x_n - x_*, [\mathbb{I} - hH]v_i \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\text{eigenvec.}}{=} \langle x_n - x_*, (1 - h\lambda_i)v_i \rangle$$

$$= (1 - h\lambda_i)(x_n - x_*)^{(i)}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{induction}}{=} (1 - h\lambda_i)^{n+1}(x_0 - x_*)^{(i)}$$

For all components to converge we need $|1 - h\lambda_i| < 1$ for all *i*. Since $1 - h\lambda_i < 1$ is always given, because $h, \lambda_i > 0$, we only need $1 - h\lambda_i > -1$ or $\frac{2}{h} > \lambda_i$ for all *i*. Since the eigenvalues are sorted, this is equivalent to $\frac{2}{h} > \lambda_d$ or

$$h < \frac{2}{\lambda_d}$$

Under this condition, all components converge. The component with the slowest convergence is given by

$$\max_{i} |1 - h\lambda_{i}| = \max_{i} \max\{\underbrace{1 - h\lambda_{i}}_{\leq 1 - h\lambda_{1}}, \underbrace{-(1 - h\lambda_{i})}_{\leq -(1 - h\lambda_{d})}\} = \max\{1 - h\lambda_{1}, -(1 - h\lambda_{d})\}.$$

To minimize the slowest convergence, we want to take the derivative. The discontinuity is at

$$1 - h\lambda_1 = -(1 - h\lambda_d) \iff 2 = h(\lambda_1 + \lambda_d)$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dh} = \begin{cases} -\lambda_1 & h \le \frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \\ \lambda_d & h \ge \frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \end{cases}$$

So the maximal convergence speed is achieved by $h^*=rac{2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ with

$$r(h^*) := \max_i |1 - h^* \lambda_i| = 1 - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_d} = 1 - \frac{2}{1 + \kappa_i}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_1}$ is the condition number. Putting things together, we have

$$\|x_n - x_*\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^d (1 - h\lambda_i)^n (x_0 - x_*)^{(i)} v_i\right\|^2$$

orthonormal
$$\sum_{i=1}^d \underbrace{(1 - h\lambda_i)^{2n}}_{\leq r(h^*)^{2n}} (x_0^{(i)} - x_*^{(i)})^2 = r(h^*)^{2n} \|x_0 - x_*\|^2$$

and therefore

$$||x_n - x_*|| \le r(h^*)^n ||x_0 - x_*||.$$

Exercise 4 (Programming exercise).

For the Python exercises join the GitHub classroom https://classroom.github.com/a/
8yrTMIm1. If you are new to git, checkout https://classroom.github.com/a/dEzm_
HGt

(9 Points)