

## Sheet 1

For the exercise class on the 02.03.2023.

Hand in your solutions by 12:00 in the exercise on Thursday 02.03.2023.

### Exercise 1 (Convex Examples).

(2 Points)

Prove the following functions are convex

- (i) affine linear functions, i.e.  $f(x) = a^T x + c$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , (0.5 pts)
- (ii) norms, i.e.  $x \mapsto \|x\|$ , (0.5 pts)
- (iii) sums of convex functions  $f_k$ , i.e.  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x)$ , (0.5 pts)
- (iv)  $F(x) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f(x)$  for a set of convex functions  $\mathcal{F}$ . (0.5 pts)

### Exercise 2 (Finite Jensen).

(2 Points)

Let  $\varphi$  be convex, and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$  for  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ . Prove

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(x_i)$$

and deduce  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ .

### Exercise 3 (Strict & Strong Convexity).

(4 Points)

Prove the following statements

- (i)  $\mu$ -strong convexity implies strict convexity. (1 pt)
- (ii) For twice differentiable  $f$ , the following are equivalent (3 pts)
  - (a)  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu \mathbb{I}$
  - (b)  $z^T \nabla^2 f(x) z \geq \mu \|z\|^2$
  - (c)  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix and

$$A \succeq B : \iff A - B \text{ is (weakly) positive definite.}$$

### Exercise 4 (Convexity and Minima).

(3 Points)

Prove the following statements

- (i) If  $f$  is convex, then every local minimum is also a global minimum. (1 pt)
- (ii) If  $f$  is strictly convex, then there exists exactly one minimum. (1 pt)

(iii) If  $f$  convex and differentiable and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , then  $x^*$  is a minimum. (1 pt)

**Exercise 5 (Directional Minima). (3 Points)**

Let  $f$  be some differentiable function. For every direction  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  define

$$g_d(\alpha) := f(x^* + \alpha d).$$

Assume that for every  $d$ ,  $g_d$  is minimized by  $\alpha = 0$ . Prove that

(i) We have necessarily  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . (1 pt)

(ii)  $f(x^*)$  is *not* necessarily a minimum of  $f$ . (2 pts)

**Hint.** Let  $0 < p < q$  and define

$$f(y, z) := (z - py^2)(z - qy^2)$$

consider  $x^* = (0, 0)$  and prove that  $f(y, my^2) < 0$  for  $p < m < q$ .

**Exercise 6 (Bregman Divergence). (10 Points)**

The Bregman Divergence  $D_f^{(B)}$  of a continuously differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as the error of the linear approximation and is related to  $\mu$ -strong convexity and Lipschitz continuous gradients as follows

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \stackrel{\substack{\mu\text{-strongly convex} \\ \text{(definition)}}}{\leq} \underbrace{f(x) - f(x_0) - \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle}_{=: D_f^{(B)}(x, x_0)} \stackrel{\substack{L\text{-Lipschitz gradient} \\ \text{(Descent Lemma)}}}{\leq} \frac{L}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2.$$

For  $\mu = 0$  this is simply the convexity condition by Prop. A.1.8. So non-negativity of the Bregman divergence implies convexity. The  $L$ -Lipschitz gradients provide us with an upper bound on the Bregman divergence on the other hand which immediately results in an upper bound on  $f$

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \underbrace{D_f^{(B)}(x, x_0)}_{\leq \frac{L}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2}. \quad (1)$$

(i) Prove for functions  $f$  with  $L$ -Lipschitz gradients, we have for all  $x_0$

$$\min_x f(x) \leq f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x_0)\|^2.$$

What is the minimizer? (2 pts)

**Hint.** Minimize the upper bound (1). For this, first minimize over the direction  $x - x_0$  subject to the length  $\|x - x_0\| = r$  being constant. Then minimize over  $r$ .

(ii) Prove for convex functions  $f$  with  $L$ -Lipschitz gradients (2 pts)

$$D_f^{(B)}(x, x_0) \geq \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x_0)\|^2.$$

**Hint.** Apply (i) to

$$\phi(x) := D_f^{(B)}(x, x_0).$$

Due to convexity you should already know the global minimum of  $\phi$ .

- (iii) Prove for convex functions  $f$  with  $L$ -Lipschitz gradients, we have for all  $x, y$  (1 pt)

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2.$$

**Hint.** Use (ii) twice.

- (iv) Prove for convex  $f$  that the upper bound

$$D_f^{(B)}(x, x_0) \leq \frac{L}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2$$

is sufficient for Lipschitz continuity of the gradient  $\nabla f$ . (1 pt)

**Hint.** Argue why you can use (iii) without circular reasoning.

- (v) In this last part we want to assume  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex (and its gradient  $L$ -Lipschitz). Prove for all  $x, y$  (4 pts)

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq \frac{\mu}{L+\mu} \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{L+\mu} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2.$$

**Hint.** Note that strong convexity provides an alternative lower bound to (ii). Using this alternative lower bound we could directly obtain a modified version of (iii). But we are greedy. We want to use both lower bounds for an even tighter bound on the scalar product. We therefore want to use

$$g_x(y) := f(y) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - y\|^2$$

to break down the Bregman divergence of  $f$ , so we can have our cake and eat it:

$$D_f^{(B)}(y, z) = \underbrace{D_{g_x}^{(B)}(y, z)}_{\text{use (ii)}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mu}{2} \|y - z\|^2}_{\text{strong convexity}}.$$

Remember to check convexity of  $g_x$  and Lipschitz continuity of  $\nabla g_x$  before applying (ii). When applying (ii), the selection  $z = x$  might be helpful.