

Some preliminary material for the course “Calculus of Variations & Applications”

0.1 Lebesgue measure

► For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, an n -dimensional *interval* I is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$I = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \alpha_k \leq x_k \leq \beta_k, k = 1, \dots, n\} \\ \equiv [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times [\alpha_2, \beta_2] \times \dots \times [\alpha_n, \beta_n],$$

where $\alpha_k < \beta_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. The *volume of an interval* I is given by

$$\text{Vol}(I) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta_k - \alpha_k).$$

► The *Lebesgue measure* (denoted throughout by \mathcal{L}^n), is defined for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\mathcal{L}^n(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Vol}(I_k) : \{I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ are intervals and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k \right\}.$$

One can show for an interval I that $\mathcal{L}^n(I) = \mathcal{L}^n(I^\circ) = \text{Vol}(I)$.

► A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called \mathcal{L}^n -*measurable* (from now on only *measurable*) if

$$\mathcal{L}^n(B) = \mathcal{L}^n(B \cap A) + \mathcal{L}^n(B \setminus A) \quad \forall B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Hence, a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable if and only if the set $A^c := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ is measurable. Also, \emptyset , \mathbb{R}^n and sets of measure 0 are measurable. It turns out that if $\{A_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of measurable sets, then

- (i) if $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are disjoint, then $\mathcal{L}^n(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}^n(A_k)$,
- (ii) if $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^n(A_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k)$,
- (iii) if $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing and $\mathcal{L}^n(A_1) < \infty$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^n(A_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k)$,

(iv) the sets $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ and $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ are measurable.

► A collection of subsets $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called a σ -algebra provided

(i) $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n \in \mathcal{A}$, (ii) $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$, (iii) $\{A_k \in \mathcal{A}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

Hence, the collection of all measurable sets form a σ -algebra. The *Borel σ -algebra* of \mathbb{R}^n is defined as the smallest σ -algebra of \mathbb{R}^n containing the open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . A set in the Borel σ -algebra will be called a *Borel set*.

► The Lebesgue measure is a *Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^n* ; that means it satisfies the following properties

(i) every Borel set is measurable,

(ii) for each $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists Borel set B such that $C \subseteq B$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(C) = \mathcal{L}^n(B)$,

(iii) $\mathcal{L}^n(K) < \infty$ for all compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

► The Lebesgue measure has the following property (the *Brunn-Minkowski inequality*):

$$(\mathcal{L}^n(A+B))^{1/n} \geq (\mathcal{L}^n(A))^{1/n} + (\mathcal{L}^n(B))^{1/n} \quad \forall A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $A+B$ stands for the Minkowski sum of A, B .

0.2 Lebesgue measurable functions

► A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is called *\mathcal{L}^n -measurable* (from now on only *measurable*) if

$$U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is open} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \text{ is measurable.}$$

If $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are measurable, then so are $f+g, fg, |f|, \min\{f, g\}, \max\{f, g\}$ and f/g (provided $g \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n). Also, if $\{f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are measurable, then so are $\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k, \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k, \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k$.

► A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is called *Borel measurable* if

$$U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is open} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \text{ is a Borel set.}$$

Hence, if $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous then it is Borel measurable (recall that the pre-image $f^{-1}(U)$ of an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ through a continuous function f is again open).

► If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, there exist measurable sets $\{A_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k} \chi_{A_k}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

► **[Lusin's theorem]** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be measurable and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be measurable with $\mathcal{L}^n(A) < \infty$. Then

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ compact $K \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n(A \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ and $f|_K$ is continuous.

► The expression “a.e. in A ” where $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ means “almost everywhere in A with respect to \mathcal{L}^n ”; that is, “for all $x \in A \setminus N$ where $\mathcal{L}^n(N) = 0$ ”.

► **[Egoroff's theorem]** Let $\{f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be measurable such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. in A , where $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable with $\mathcal{L}^n(A) < \infty$. Then

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ measurable $B \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n(A \setminus B) < \varepsilon$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly in B .

0.3 Lebesgue integral

► For a function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ we set $g_+ := \max\{0, g\}$ and $g_- := \max\{0, -g\}$. Observe these are nonnegative functions and that the following decompositions are valid: $g = g_+ - g_-$ and $|g| = g_+ + g_-$.

► A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *simple function* if the image of g is countable. Hence, if $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is simple, then there exist disjoint $\{A_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$g = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k \chi_{A_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Furthermore, $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be taken measurable if g is known to be measurable.

► Thus, given a measurable simple function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, then there exist disjoint measurable sets $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\alpha_k \in (0, \infty]\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$g = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k \chi_{A_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and so we define its *integral* on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \, d\mathcal{L}^n := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k \mathcal{L}^n(A_k).$$

► If $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a measurable simple function and either $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_+ \, d\mathcal{L}^n < \infty$ or $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_- \, d\mathcal{L}^n < \infty$, we call g an *integrable simple function* and define its integral on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \, d\mathcal{L}^n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_+ \, d\mathcal{L}^n - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_- \, d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

► Given $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the *upper integral* of f on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\overline{}} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \, d\mathcal{L}^n : g \text{ integrable simple function with } g \geq f \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Correspondingly, the *lower integral* of f on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\underline{}} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n := \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \, d\mathcal{L}^n : g \text{ integrable simple function with } g \leq f \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

A measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is called \mathcal{L}^n -*integrable* (from now on only *integrable*) if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\overline{}} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\underline{}} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n$. We write then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n$ for their common value.

► It turns out that any nonnegative measurable function is integrable. Also for any integrable f we have $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \, d\mathcal{L}^n$.

► A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is called \mathcal{L}^n -*summable* (from now on only *summable*) if it is integrable and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \, d\mathcal{L}^n < \infty$.

► **[Fatou's lemma]** Let $f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be measurable. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k \, d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \, d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

► **[Monotone convergence theorem]** Let $f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be measurable such that $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k \, d\mathcal{L}^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \, d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

► **[Dominated convergence theorem]** Let $f, f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be measurable, $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be summable, satisfying

(i) $f_k \rightarrow f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n ,

(ii) $|f_k| \leq g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| \, d\mathcal{L}^n = 0.$$

► **[Absolute continuity of the integral]** If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is summable then

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable with $\mathcal{L}^n(A) < \delta$, then $\int_A |f| \, d\mathcal{L}^n < \varepsilon$.

► **[$C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$]** If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is summable then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - g| \, d\mathcal{L}^n < \varepsilon.$$

► **[a.e. convergence for a subsequence]** Let $f, f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be summable and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| \, d\mathcal{L}^n = 0$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{l_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges to f a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .